# **CHAPTER 3**

# **BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENTS**

Women's demographic and health-seeking behaviour is associated with several characteristics including their age, marital status, religion, and caste. Modernizing influences such as education and exposure to mass media are also important catalysts for demographic and socioeconomic change. In addition, women's status and autonomy are critical in promoting change in reproductive attitudes and behaviour, especially in patriarchal societies (Dyson and Moore, 1983; Das Gupta, 1987; Jeffery and Basu, 1996). The National Population Policy, 2000, of the Government of India identifies the low status of women in India, typified by factors such as discrimination against the girl child and female adolescents, early age at marriage, and high rates of maternal mortality, as an important barrier to the achievement of population and maternal and child welfare goals (Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, 2000).

This chapter presents a profile of the demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of ever-married women age 15–49 who were identified in the NFHS-2 Household Questionnaire as eligible respondents for the Woman's Questionnaire. In addition, data are presented on the extent to which women in Tamil Nadu enjoy autonomy as measured by their participation in household decisionmaking, freedom of movement, and access to money they can spend as they wish. Finally, data on women's attitudes towards the acceptance of spousal violence under specific circumstances and their experience of physical violence are discussed.

# **3.1 Background Characteristics**

Table 3.1 presents the percent distribution of ever-married women age 15–49 by age, marital status, co-residence with husband, education, religion, caste/tribe, work status, and husband's education. The proportion of respondents in five-year age groups increases from 5 percent in the age group 15–19 years to 21 percent in the age group 25–29 years, and then falls steadily to 11 percent in the age group 45–49 years. The initial increase reflects the increasing share of evermarried women in these age groups. The decline after age 25–29 (an age by which most women have been married) reflects the normal pyramid shape of the population's age distribution. Forty-two percent of the respondents are in the early reproductive age group of 15–29. The concentration is particularly high in the high fertility age group of 20–29, which contains 37 percent of respondents. The age distribution of women is similar in urban and rural areas and Chennai, except that the share of ever-married women in the younger ages of 15–24 years is lower in urban areas than in rural areas. This age pattern is largely a consequence of the higher age at marriage in urban areas.

Ninety-one percent of respondents are currently married, 6 percent are widowed, 2 percent are separated, and the remaining 1 percent are either divorced or deserted. The distribution of respondents by marital status varies marginally by urban-rural place of residence. The proportion of respondents living with their husbands (88 percent) also varies little by urban-rural residence, although a particularly large proportion of women in Chennai are living with their husbands (93 percent).

Table 3.1 Background characteristics of respondents

Percent distribution of ever-married women age 15–49 by selected background characteristics, according to residence, Tamil Nadu, 1999

		Res	idence		Number of women		
Background characteristic	Urban	Rural	Total	Chennai	Weighted	Unweighted	
Age							
15–19	38	6.0	52	31	245	229	
20–24	15.4	17.1	16.5	16.2	774	770	
25–29	21.3	20.1	20.5	24.9	960	990	
30–34	18.9	17.1	17.7	16.8	828	823	
35–39	16.5	15.1	15.6	18.0	729	746	
40–44	12.9	13.3	13.2	11.7	616	606	
45–49	11.1	11.3	11.2	9.4	525	512	
Marital status							
Currently married	92.4	89.9	90.8	93.7	4,245	4,266	
Widowed	4.9	6.9	6.2	4.0	290	274	
Divorced	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.1	25	22	
Separated	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.2	97	97	
Deserted	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.1	19	17	
Co-residence with husband		o <b>-</b> 4					
Living with husband	89.2	87.1	87.9	93.0	4,108	4,144	
Not living with husband Not currently married	3.2 7.6	2.8 10.1	2.9 9.2	0.6	137 431	122 410	
Education							
Illiterate	27.8	57 0	47 5	20.6	2 221	2 084	
l iterate < primary school complete	۲۱.0 ۸ ۸	57.9 4 F	47.0	29.0 1 0	∠,∠∠ I 010	2,004 100	
Primary school complete	20.8	4.5	4.5	1.2	873	870	
Middle school complete	18 /	10.8	13.7	18.8	620	660	
High school complete	13.3	5.5	8.2	15.0	384	440	
Higher secondary complete and above	15.1	3.7	7.6	16.4	357	423	
Religion							
Hindu	79.7	93.4	88.6	83.8	4,145	4,106	
Muslim	11.5	3.0	5.9	9.0	277	301	
Christian	8.2	3.6	5.2	5.6	242	247	
Sikh	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	1	3	
Jain	0.2	0.0	0.1	1.1	3	10	
Zoroastrian/Parsi	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	2	3	
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	1	
Missing	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	6	5	
Caste/tribe							
Scheduled caste	17.3	26.4	23.3	20.4	1,089	1,063	
Scheduled tribe	0.2	1.2	0.8	0.6	39	37	
Other backward class	78.3	72.0	74.2	70.3	3,469	3,447	
Other	4.1	0.4	1.7	8.4	79	126	
Missing	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	1	3	
Work status	o -	44.4	40.4	0.0	400	407	
vvorking in family fam/business	3.5	14.1	10.4	2.3	488	427	
Employed by someone else	28.3	45.0	39.2	18.0	1,834	1,682	
Not worked in past 12 months	5.4 62.7	3.5 37.4	4.2 46.2	6.0 73.7	2,160	2,359	
Husband's education							
Illiterate	14 1	35 4	28.0	15 3	1 300	1 212	
l iterate < primary school complete	45	80	6.8	17	317	281	
Primary school complete	19.7	23.6	22.3	15.6	1 041	995	
Middle school complete	17 1	14.8	15.6	20.2	730	762	
High school complete	21.9	10.9	14 7	21.4	687	738	
Higher secondary complete and above	22.4	7.1	12.4	24.7	582	673	
Missing	0.3	0.2	0.2	1.0	10	15	
Total percent	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA	NA	
Number of women							
Weighted	1,620	3,056	4,676	289	4,676	NA	
Unweighted	2,113	2,563	4,676	929	NA	4,676	
NA: Not applicable							

The educational levels of respondents and their husbands have an important influence on demographic and health-seeking behaviour. Almost half (48 percent) of ever-married women age 15–49 in Tamil Nadu are illiterate, compared with 58 percent of women in India as a whole. The level of illiteracy for women declined slightly in Tamil Nadu from 50 percent at the time of NFHS-1 to 48 percent at the time of NFHS-2. Between the two surveys, illiteracy declined only for rural women (from 62 percent to 58 percent) and remained stable for urban women (28 percent in both surveys). The level of illiteracy is higher among women in Chennai (30 percent) than among urban women as a whole. Among women who are literate, the largest proportion consists of those who have completed primary school (but not middle school). Sixteen percent of women have completed at least high school, barely higher than the 15 percent recorded at the time of NFHS-1. Thirty-two percent of Chennai respondents have attained that level of education, compared with 28 percent of urban respondents and only 9 percent of rural respondents.

Although 48 percent of women are illiterate, only 28 percent of their husbands are illiterate (29 percent in NFHS-1). In NFHS-2, the proportion of respondents with illiterate husbands is more than twice as high in rural areas (35 percent) as in urban areas (14 percent). At the other educational extreme, 27 percent of women have husbands who have completed at least high school (similar to 26 percent in NFHS-1), and the percentage is much higher in urban areas (44 percent) than in rural areas (18 percent).

Eighty-nine percent of the respondents in Tamil Nadu are Hindu, 6 percent are Muslim, 5 percent are Christian, and less than 1 percent belong to other religious groups. The proportions of women who are Muslim and Christian are higher in urban areas (12 and 8 percent, respectively) than in rural areas (3 and 4 percent, respectively).

Seventy-four percent of respondents belong to other backward classes, 23 percent belong to scheduled castes, and only 1 percent belong to scheduled tribes. Only 2 percent do not belong to any of these groups. Disproportionately large percentages of women from scheduled castes are found in rural areas, while disproportionately large percentages of women from other backward classes are found in urban areas. Chennai has a relatively high proportion of women who do not belong to a scheduled caste, a scheduled tribe, or an other backward class.

Almost half of respondents in Tamil Nadu (46 percent) did not participate in work other than their regular housework during the 12 months preceding the survey, and the proportion is particularly high in urban areas (63 percent) and Chennai (74 percent). Ten percent of women work on the family farm or in a family business, 4 percent are self-employed, and 39 percent are employed by someone else.

# **3.2 Educational Level**

Table 3.2 presents the percent distribution of ever-married women age 15–49 by the highest level of education attained, according to age, religion, caste/tribe, and husband's education. The educational distribution of women in different age groups illustrates the progress in the spread of education over a period of about three decades. As expected, the level of illiteracy declines with declining age, from 61 percent at age 45–49 to 35 percent at age 15–19. Therefore, even though illiteracy is declining, about one-third of ever-married women even in the youngest cohorts are illiterate. At the other end of the educational spectrum, the proportion of women age 20–24 (22

#### Table 3.2 Respondent's level of education by background characteristics

Percent distribution of ever-married women age 15–49 by highest level of education attained, according to selected background characteristics, Tamil Nadu, 1999

	Respondent's level of education							
Background characteristic	Illiterate	Literate, < primary school complete	Primary school complete	Middle school complete	High school complete	Higher secondary complete and above	Total percent	Number of women
A								
Age 15 10	25.2	2.2	22.0	26.0	6.0	16	100.0	245
10-19	35.5	3.3 4 1	∠3.0 18.2	20.0	0.9	4.0	100.0	240 774
20-24	43.8	4.1	18.0	20.1	7.0	10.0	100.0	960
30-34	47.6	4.5	19.4	12.3	6.9	94	100.0	828
35-39	50.0	5.5	18.1	10.5	97	6.3	100.0	729
40-44	58.7	3.3	19.8	8.6	6.8	27	100.0	616
45–49	60.9	6.3	14.6	7.4	8.2	2.5	100.0	525
Religion								
Hindu	50.0	4.6	17.9	13.1	7.7	6.8	100.0	4.145
Muslim	34.5	6.0	29.8	14.8	9.6	5.3	100.0	277
Christian	19.6	2.4	19.2	18.7	15.2	24.9	100.0	242
Caste/tribe								
Scheduled caste	64.1	3.2	13.6	10.3	5.0	3.9	100.0	1,089
Scheduled tribe	(76.2)	(0.0)	(14.0)	(3.1)	(6.7)	(0.0)	100.0	39
Other backward class	42.9	5.1	20.4	14.5	8.8	8.1	100.0	3,469
Other	5.0	0.0	12.5	14.3	26.5	41.7	100.0	79
Husband's education								
Illiterate	77.6	4.1	12.6	4.5	0.9	0.2	100.0	1,309
complete	67.8	10.7	11 4	71	1.8	1 1	100.0	317
Primary school complete	52.2	5 1	25.3	11.0	47	1.1	100.0	1 041
Middle school complete	34.1	4.5	28.1	20.2	8.9	4.2	100.0	730
High school complete	21.3	3.6	21.4	24.9	20.2	8.6	100.0	687
complete and above	8.0	2.2	9.4	19.6	19.3	41.5	100.0	582
Total	47.5	4.5	18.7	13.4	8.2	7.6	100.0	4,676

Note: Total includes 7 women belonging to other religions and 6, 1, and 10 women with missing information on religion, caste/tribe, and husband's education, respectively, who are not shown separately.

() Based on 25–49 unweighted cases

percent) as among women age 45–49 (11 percent). The level of illiteracy is highest among Hindus (50 percent), intermediate for Muslims (35 percent), and lowest for Christians (20 percent). Illiteracy is relatively low for women who belong to an other backward class and is particularly low among women who do not belong to a scheduled caste, a scheduled tribe, or an other backward class.

Seventy-eight percent of women with illiterate husbands are themselves illiterate. Onefifth (21 percent) of women whose husbands have completed high school (but not higher secondary school) are illiterate, as are 8 percent of women whose husbands have completed higher secondary school. The table shows that husbands at each level of education are more likely to have wives with a lower level of education than with an equal or higher level of education. Specifically, the proportion of women who have less education than their husbands is 68 percent for women whose husbands are literate but have not completed primary school, 57 percent for women whose husbands have completed primary school but have not completed middle school, 67 percent for women whose husbands have completed middle school, 71 percent for women whose husbands have completed high school, and 59 percent for women whose husbands have completed higher secondary school.

# **3.3** Age at First Marriage

Table 3.3 provides information on age at first marriage for all women. The table shows the percentage of women who first married by specified exact ages, and the median age at first marriage and first cohabitation by current age and residence. The median age of first marriage/cohabitation with husband for a cohort of women is the age by which 50 percent of the cohort marries/cohabits. The table shows that there is a steady rise in the age at first marriage. The proportion married before they reach age 15 falls from 16 percent for women age 40–49 to 3 percent for women age 15–19. The decline in the proportion of women marriage by age 15 is evident in both rural and urban areas. The practice of very early marriage (by age 13) has virtually disappeared in Tamil Nadu.

Table 3.3 Age at first marriage									
Percentage of wor with husband, acc	men married cording to cu	d by specific urrent age ar	exact ages nd residenc	s, median a e, Tamil N	age at first n adu, 1999	narriage, an	d median age a	t first cohabitation	
Current age <sup>1</sup>		Median age at first	Median age at first						
	13	15	18	20	22	25	marriage	with husband	
URBAN									
15–19	0.0	1.4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NC	NC	
20-24	0.0	1.0	18.5	40.5	NA	NA	NC	NC	
25-29	1.0	4 7	21.3	44 0	61.5	79.9	20.7	20.8	
30_34	0.3	49	23.5	48.8	69.7	83.7	20.1	20.0	
35_39	1.5	6.7	31.1	54 1	73.5	86.9	19.6	19.7	
40 44	0.1	0.7	41.2	64.3	76.0	88.5	18.0	18.0	
40-44	1.0	12.1	41.2	60.5	70.0 93.5	00.0	10.7	10.5	
45-49	1.9	12.1	45.1	09.5	05.5	91.9	10.5	10.4	
20–49	0.7	5.5	27.3	50.6	NA	NA	19.9	NC	
25–49	0.9	6.9	30.0	53.6	70.9	85.1	19.7	19.8	
RURAL									
15-19	03	35	NA	NA	NA	NA	NC	NC	
20-24	0.8	53	28.7	54.8	NA	NA	19.6	19.7	
25-29	1.8	10.2	38.4	63.1	78.3	90.0	18.8	18.9	
30_34	27	13.5	49.5	70.3	84.4	02.0	18.0	18.1	
35 30	2.7	13.2	48.1	70.5	86.1	03.4	18.1	18.3	
10 11	2.3	10.6	40.1 54.6	70.0	00.1	93.4	17.1	17.0	
40-44	0.1	19.0	54.0	79.0	90.0	90.9	17.7	17.0	
45-49	0.4	10.7	57.4	70.1	00.7	95.4	17.4	17.0	
20–49	2.9	12.2	43.8	67.2	NA	NA	18.5	18.6	
25–49	3.5	14.3	48.2	70.9	84.6	93.2	18.1	18.3	
				ΤΟΤΑΙ	-				
15–19	0.2	2.8	NA	NA	NA	NA	NC	NC	
20-24	0.5	3.7	24.9	49.4	NA	NA	NC	NC	
25-29	1.5	8.1	32.1	56.0	72.1	86.3	19.4	19.6	
30-34	1.8	10.2	39.8	62.2	78.8	89.3	18.8	18.9	
35-39	2.0	10.8	41.9	65.3	81.5	91.1	18.6	18.7	
40-44	4 0	16.2	49.9	73.9	85.1	93.9	18.0	18.2	
45–49	4.9	16.4	53.2	73.9	87.0	94.3	17.7	17.9	
20.49	2.1	0.8	37.8	61 1	NΛ	ΝΔ	18.0	10 1	
20-49	2.1	9.0 11.6	41.6	64 7	79.6		18.5	18.8	
20-40	2.0	11.0	41.0	04.7	79.0	30.5	10.7	10.0	

NA: Not applicable

NC: Not calculated because less than 50 percent of women age 15–19, age 20–24, and age 20–49 have married or started living with their husband by the start of the age group.

<sup>1</sup>The current age groups include both never-married and ever-married women.

The median age at first marriage has also risen over the past three decades. In rural areas the median age at first marriage is two years higher for women age 20–24 than for women age 45–49, and in urban areas it is more than two years higher for women age 25–29 than for women age 45–49. (The median age at first marriage could not be calculated for women age 15–19 and 20–24 in urban areas and for women age 15–19 in rural areas because more than half of the women in these age groups were not married at the time of the survey). For women age 25–29, the median age at first marriage is almost two years higher in urban areas than in rural areas.

Despite the evidence of a rising age at marriage in Tamil Nadu, Table 3.3 shows that 38 percent of women age 20–49 in Tamil Nadu married before reaching the legal minimum age at marriage of 18 years for women, as set by the Child Marriage Restraint Act of 1978. Even among younger women age 20–24, 25 percent still marry before reaching the legal minimum age at marriage (29 percent in rural areas and 19 percent in urban areas).

Table 3.3 also provides information on the median age at first cohabitation with husband. This, along with age at first marriage, shows the gap between formal marriage and the time when a wife starts living with her husband. In Tamil Nadu, there is little difference between the median age at first marriage and the median age at first cohabitation, both in urban and rural areas, implying that there is almost no delay between marriage and cohabitation.

### 3.4 Exposure to Mass Media

In a state like Tamil Nadu, where half the women are illiterate or have little formal education, informal channels such as the mass media can play an important role in bringing about modernization. In NFHS-2, women were asked questions about whether they read a newspaper or magazine, watch television, or listen to the radio at least once a week, and whether they visit the cinema or theatre at least once a month. Table 3.4 gives information on women's exposure to these forms of mass media by selected background characteristics.

In Tamil Nadu, one-fifth of women (20 percent) are not regularly exposed to any of these media. As expected, the percentage not regularly exposed to the media is higher among older women, rural women, illiterate women, scheduled-caste women, and women with a low standard of living than among other women. The percentage of women not exposed to any media rises consistently with age of the women. Twenty-six percent of rural women are not regularly exposed to any media, compared with only 9 percent of urban women. One-third of illiterate women are not exposed to any media, compared with only 3 percent of women who have completed at least a high school education. Differences by religion are rather small. Over one-quarter of women who belong to scheduled castes are not exposed to any media. The percentage not regularly exposed to any media is 37 percent among women with a low standard of living and less than 1 percent among those with a high standard of living.

Among the different types of mass media, 63 percent of women are regularly exposed to television, up from 50 percent in NFHS-1. Fifty-two percent of women mentioned that they usually listen to the radio at least once a week, down from 60 percent in NFHS-1. Television has the greatest reach in urban areas; in rural areas, exposure to radio is almost as high as exposure to television. Only 23 percent of women read a newspaper or magazine at least once a week and 22 percent of women visit the cinema or theatre at least once a month. These results show that mass media can be an important means of spreading health and family welfare messages, as well as exposing women to modern views in general; however, innovative programmes will be

### Table 3.4 Exposure to mass media

Percentage of ever-married women age 15–49 who usually read a newspaper or magazine, watch television, or listen to the radio at least once a week, who usually visit a cinema/theatre at least once a month, or who are not regularly exposed to any of these media by selected background characteristics, Tamil Nadu, 1999

		Expo	sure to mass me	edia		
Background characteristic	Reads a newspaper or magazine at least once a week	Watches television at least once a week	Listens to the radio at least once a week	Visits the cinema/theatre at least once a month	Not regularly exposed to any media	Number of women
Δαε						
15_19	22.8	69 5	58.0	30.0	11 0	245
20-24	28.4	66.5	55.2	29.7	13.6	774
25-29	20.4	65.6	54.9	24.3	16.0	960
30-34	23.0	64.2	52 A	27.0	20.1	828
35_30	23.0	61.2	50.4	18.2	20.1	720
40 44	17 /	58.3	40.3	13.0	25.0	616
40-44	17.4	30.3 EE 0	49.3	13.0	20.2	525
45-49	18.0	55.8	40.8	12.8	30.1	525
Residence						
Urban	36.9	81.3	56.4	26.0	9.0	1,620
Rural	15.8	53.2	49.2	19.7	26.2	3,056
Chennai	37.4	88.0	52.1	18.4	7.0	289
Education						
Illiterate	0.0	46.3	39.0	19.8	34.0	2.221
Literate < middle school						_,
complete	27.5	70 7	60.2	20.7	11.6	1 085
Middle school complete	44 7	78.4	61.4	27.4	67	629
High school complete		70.1	01.1		0.1	020
and above	67.3	88.4	68.8	25.1	3.3	741
Policion						
Lindu	01 7	62.2	E1 4	22.0	20.7	4 4 4 5
Muslim	21.7	02.2	51.4 40.0	22.9	20.7	4,145
Muslim	20.3	71.5	49.0	14.9	18.1	2/7
Christian	41.8	66.1	60.6	11.6	15.9	242
Caste/tribe						
Scheduled caste	14.1	50.9	46.4	22.1	27.8	1,089
Scheduled tribe	(0.0)	(63.0)	(56.2)	(13.2)	(18.5)	39
Other backward class	25.2	66.0	52.8	21.9	18.3	3,469
Other	61.3	94.4	72.3	21.6	1.8	79
Standard of living index						
Low	8.1	41.6	36.0	21.6	37.2	1.756
Medium	22.7	69.6	59.4	22 1	12.9	2,168
High	62.0	96.3	66.7	22.3	0.8	704
Total	23.1	63.0	51 7	21.0	20.3	4 676
IUIdI	23.1	03.0	51.7	21.9	20.3	4,070
Note: Total includes 7 wome	en belonaina to other	religions and 6	. 1. and 48 wom	en with missina in	formation on rel	iaion.

caste/tribe, and the standard of living index, respectively, who are not shown separately.

() Based on 25-49 unweighted cases

necessary to reach the one-fifth of women who are not regularly exposed to any form of mass media.

### 3.5 Women's Employment

Labour force participation not only gives women an opportunity to earn income, but also exposes them to the outside world and to authority structures and networks other than kin-based ones (Dixon-Mueller, 1993). In a developing country such as India, however, where women's workforce participation is often motivated by poverty, these benefits are likely to be mediated by the social context of women's work and their total work burden (Bardhan, 1985; Desai and Jain, 1994). In addition, the empowering effects of employment for women are likely to depend on their occupation, the continuity of their workforce participation, and whether they earn income. It is generally expected that women who work at a regular job, who earn money, and who perceive that their contribution is a substantial part of total family earnings are more likely to be empowered than other employed and unemployed women (Youssef, 1982; Sen, 1990; Mahmud and Johnston, 1994). The National Population Policy adopted by the Government of India in 2000 (Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, 2000) explicitly recognizes the importance of women's paid employment in achieving the goal of population stabilization in India and also specifies measures that will encourage paid employment and self-employment of women.

Table 3.5 provides information on these aspects of women's employment for evermarried women age 15-49 according to residence. In Tamil Nadu, almost half of women (46 percent) report that they did not work during the 12 months preceding the survey, aside from doing their own housework. Current employment of women increased from 47 percent in NFHS-1 to 51 percent in NFHS-2. Employment is higher in rural areas than in urban areas. Current employment is lowest in Chennai. More than 60 percent of rural women, but only 37 percent of urban women and 26 percent of women in Chennai, worked at any time in the 12 months preceding the survey. Among women who worked during that period, the majority of women (64 percent) worked throughout the year. Although a smaller proportion of women in Chennai and urban areas than in rural areas work, urban women who do work are more likely to work throughout the year. In rural areas, where women are predominantly engaged in agricultural activity, 40 percent of working women are engaged in seasonal work. Almost threequarters of rural working women (72 percent) are agricultural workers. The occupational diversity is much greater in urban areas, where 33 percent of urban working women are engaged in production work, another 13 percent are professionals, and 9 percent are sales workers. Surprisingly, 18 percent of working women in urban areas are engaged in agricultural activity.

Methods of payment for female workers are also quite diverse. Seventy-one percent of working women are paid only in cash, 2 percent are paid only in kind, and 11 percent receive both cash and in-kind payments. Sixteen percent of women are not paid for their work at all. In urban areas, 92 percent of working women receive at least some cash for their work.

A significant feature of women's work participation in Tamil Nadu is their substantial contribution to family earnings in many cases. In NFHS-2, women who earned cash for their work in the past 12 months were asked how much their earnings contribute to the total family earnings. Nine percent of these women say the family is entirely dependent on their earnings. Another 19 percent report that they contribute half or more than half of the total family earnings. Seventy-one percent contribute less than half of family earnings and less than 1 percent say their earnings contribute almost nothing to the total family earnings.

#### Table 3.5 Employment

Percent distribution of ever-married women age 15–49 by employment characteristics, according to residence, Tamil Nadu, 1999

Employment characteristic	Urban	Rural	Total	Chennai
Employment status				
Currently working	35.0	58.8	50.6	24.0
Worked in past 12 menths (not currently working)	23	3.0	30.0	24.5
Not worked in past 12 months	2.3	37 /	46.2	73.7
Not worked in past 12 months	02.7	57.4	40.2	13.1
Continuity of employment <sup>1</sup>				
Throughout the year	78 9	59.0	63 7	91.8
Seasonally/part of the year	20.1	40.0	35.2	5.8
Once in a while	1.0	1 1	11	21
Missing	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4
Wissing	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4
Type of earnings <sup>1</sup>				
Cash only	87.5	65.8	71.0	93.8
Cash and kind	4 1	13.8	11.4	12
Kind only	11	2.3	2.0	2.9
Not paid	73	18.2	15.6	21
Not paid	7.0	10.2	10.0	2.1
Professional	13.4	20	47	19.2
Sales worker	9.3	3.4	49	16.4
Service worker	2.6	12	1.5	4 5
Broduction worker	22.0	11.0	16.0	13.5
Agricultural worker	17.5	72.1	50.0	13.5
Agricultural worker	24.2	12.1	12.0	42.0
	24.2	9.3	12.9	43.0
Don't know/missing	0.4	0.1	0.2	3.3
Earnings contribution to total family earnings <sup>2</sup>				
Almost none	1 1	0.4	0.6	0.4
Loss than half	66 1	73.0	71.2	61.3
	16.5	11.0	10.7	01.3
About hall	10.5	11.3	12.7	21.9
	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
All	8.0	9.1	8.8	9.9
Missing	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0
Total percent	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Number of women	1 620	2 056	4 676	200
Number of employed women <sup>1</sup>	1,020	3,030	4,070	209
	504	1,912	2,310	70
Number of women earning cash	553	1,522	2,075	72
<sup>1</sup> For currently working women and women who have <sup>2</sup> For women earning cash	worked in the	past 12 month	S	

### 3.6 Women's Autonomy

Education, work participation, and exposure to mass media are some of the means by which women gain status and autonomy, both important aspects of their empowerment. To measure women's autonomy and empowerment more directly, NFHS-2 asked about women's participation in household decisionmaking, their freedom of movement, and access to money that they can spend as they wish. Women's autonomy is likely to have a significant impact on the demographic and health-seeking behaviour of couples by altering women's relative control over fertility and contraceptive use, and by influencing their attitudes (for example, attitudes towards the sex composition of children) and abilities (for example, the ability to obtain health services for themselves and their children) (Sen and Batliwala, 1997).

#### Table 3.6 Household decisionmaking

Percent distribution of ever-married women by person who makes specific household decisions, according to residence, Tamil Nadu, 1999

Household decision	Respondent only	Husband only	Respondent with husband	Others in household only	Respondent with others in household	Missing	Total percent			
URBAN										
What items to cook	78.5	1.9	3.2	7.8	8.6	0.0	100.0			
Obtaining health care for herself	48.8	32.2	10.5	3.8	4.7	0.0	100.0			
Purchasing jewellery or other major household items	19.4	23.9	39.3	7.4	10.0	0.0	100.0			
Going and staying with her parents or siblings	32.0	32.1	25.1	4.3	6.6	0.0	100.0			
How the money she earns will be used <sup>1</sup>	46.4	18.1	31.4	0.7	3.1	0.2	100.0			
		R	URAL							
What items to cook	84.9	1.8	0.9	5.1	7.3	0.0	100.0			
Obtaining health care for herself	43.7	37.4	11.4	3.0	4.5	0.0	100.0			
Purchasing jewellery or other major household items	16.7	27.6	38.1	5.6	11.9	0.0	100.0			
Going and staying with her parents or siblings	28.4	35.2	26.5	3.0	6.9	0.0	100.0			
How the money she earns will be used <sup>1</sup>	38.2	27.4	28.0	1.6	4.6	0.2	100.0			
		т	OTAL							
What items to cook	82.7	1.8	1.7	6.1	7.7	0.0	100.0			
Obtaining health care for herself	45.5	35.6	11.1	3.3	4.5	0.0	100.0			
Purchasing jewellery or other major household items	17.7	26.3	38.5	6.2	11.2	0.0	100.0			
Going and staying with her parents or siblings	29.6	34.1	26.0	3.4	6.8	0.0	100.0			
How the money she earns will be used <sup>1</sup>	40.4	24.9	28.9	1.4	4.2	0.2	100.0			
<sup>1</sup> For women earning cash										

In order to measure women's participation in household decisionmaking, NFHS-2 asked women to report who in their households makes decisions about the following: what items to cook, obtaining health care for themselves, purchasing jewellery or other major household items, and their going and staying with parents or siblings. The survey also asked women who earn cash who decides how the money they earn is spent. Table 3.6 gives the percent distribution of the person (or persons) who makes each of the specified household decisions, according to residence.

As expected, ever-married women in Tamil Nadu are most likely to participate in the decision about what items to cook: 83 percent of women make this decision on their own and another 9 percent make this decision jointly with their husband or someone else in the household



(see Figure 3.1). Only 8 percent of women are not involved at all in decisions about what to cook. At least 3 in every 10 women are not involved at all in decisions about seeking health care for themselves (39 percent), purchasing jewellery or other major household items (33 percent), and going and staying with parents or siblings (38 percent). Among these three types of decisions, the decision that women are most likely to take on their own is the decision about their own health care (46 percent). Although there are no sharp differences by residence in the proportion of women participating in the different types of decisions, urban women are slightly more likely to be involved in each of the decisions than their rural counterparts, with the exception of the decision as to what to cook.

More than one-quarter of women who earn cash report that only their husbands or only others make the decision about how the money they earn will be used. Forty percent of the women make that decision on their own, and 33 percent make the decision together with their husband or someone else. The proportion of women who do not participate at all in the decision about how the money they earn will be used is higher in rural areas (29 percent) than in urban areas (19 percent), and the proportion who make this decision alone is higher in urban areas (46 percent) than in rural areas (38 percent).

Women's involvement in decisionmaking, alone or jointly with others in the household, generally increases with age, suggesting that autonomy also increases with age (Table 3.7). Specifically, the percentage of women who are involved in making decisions about their own health care increases from 43 percent among women age 15–19 to 71 percent among women age 45–49. Participation in each of the four specified decisions increases more or less steadily with age.

The proportion of women not involved in any decisionmaking does not vary much or systematically by residence, education, religion, caste/tribe, or the standard of living. Women

### Table 3.7 Women's autonomy

Percentage of ever-married women involved in household decisionmaking, percentage with freedom of movement, and percentage with access to money by selected background characteristics, Tamil Nadu, 1999

		Percent	tage invo	lved in decisio	nmaking on:	Percenta do not n permissi	age who eed on to:		
Background characteristic	Percentage not involved in any decision- making	What to cook	Own health care	Purchasing jewellery, etc.	Staying with her parents/ siblings	Go to the market	Visit friends/ relatives	Percent- age with access to money	Number of women
A									
Age 15_10	5.8	86.4	12.8	58.3	56.8	70.0	46.4	68.3	245
20-24	47	88.6	53.5	60.4	56.8	70.0	45.8	75.5	774
25-29	32	90.7	56.1	64.6	59.4	77.2	49.9	78.9	960
30-34	0.8	94.9	63.1	69.3	63.7	78.5	54.5	78.0	828
35–39	1.1	95.9	64.9	70.4	66.0	81.4	61.9	83.2	729
40-44	1.0	94.2	69.9	73.2	65.6	82.7	64.5	82.0	616
45-49	1.9	90.5	71.0	73.5	68.3	87.7	70.2	81.6	525
Residence									
Lirban	29	90.3	64 0	68.7	637	80.3	58.2	82.4	1 620
Rural	2.1	93.1	59.5	66.8	61.8	77.5	54.7	77.2	3,056
Chennai	5.6	86.6	51.0	65.4	61.8	81.8	64.5	84.8	289
Education									
Illiterate	21	93.7	61 9	68.7	63.8	80 5	58.6	77.2	2 221
l iterate < middle school	2.1	55.7	01.5	00.7	00.0	00.0	50.0	11.2	2,221
complete	22	92 7	62.6	68.2	61.9	77 3	53 4	79.0	1 085
Middle school complete	2.6	90.3	56.3	62.3	58.9	76.5	49.1	77.1	629
High school complete		00.0	00.0	02.0	0010	1010			020
and above	3.4	88.2	60.4	66.7	62.0	75.9	57.3	86.0	741
Religion									
Hindu	21	92 7	60.8	67.3	62.0	80.0	56 5	78 9	4 145
Muslim	6.4	87.2	62.7	71.0	68.2	56.6	40.9	78.1	277
Christian	2.9	88.9	65.2	66.8	64.9	79.6	62.6	81.0	242
Casto/tribo									
Sebeduled easte	17	02.0	50.2	60 F	61.0	01.6	E0 E	70.4	1 090
Scheduled tribe	(3.1)	90.0 (06.0)	(66.8)	(57.6)	(66.0)	(61.5)	(30.4)	(50.2)	1,009
Other backward class	(3.1)	(90.9)	61 7	(37.0)	(00.0)	(01.5)	(39.4)	(39.2)	3 460
Other	5.3	81.2	58.6	63.5	58.8	79.3	57.8	87.0	3,409 79
Orali amala mant									
Cash employment	1.0	02.4	C 4 F	70.0	<u> </u>	00.0	C1 C	00.0	0.075
Working for cash	1.8	93.4	64.5	70.8	63.9	82.9	61.6	82.0	2,075
Not working but not for cash	1.0	93.4	63.6	07.1	07.2	79.9	0.10	11.1	441
months	32	90.7	573	64 3	60 1	74 0	50.1	76.3	2 160
	5.L		0.10	00				. 5.6	_,
Standard of living index	1.0	04.4	60.0	60.2	60.0	70 F	577	77 4	1 756
Low	1.9	94.1 02 F	61 7	00.3	62.4	70.0	5/./	70.2	1,100
High	2.3	92.0 95 0	01.7 50.6	07.Z	03.4 62.6	79.9	ວວ.ŏ ຽວ ວ	19.3 27 7	2,100 704
пун	4.0	0.00	0.90	00.0	02.0	71.0	52.5	02.1	704
Total	2.4	92.1	61.1	67.4	62.4	78.5	55.9	79.0	4,676
Nista, Tatal includes 7 wars					بمرجاها والمتناب والمحمد مرابع	in all and in fact			

Note: Total includes 7 women belonging to other religions and 6, 1, and 48 women with missing information on religion, caste/tribe, and the standard of living index, respectively, who are not shown separately. () Based on 25–49 unweighted cases

who worked during the past year, whether or not they worked for cash, are slightly more likely to be involved in each type of decision than women who were not employed at all.

NFHS-2 also collected information on two other dimensions of women's autonomy, namely, women's freedom of movement and their access to money that they can spend as they wish. With regard to freedom of movement, respondents were asked whether they need permission to go to the market or to visit friends or relatives. Women's access to spending money was measured by asking respondents, 'Are you allowed to have some money set aside that you can use as you wish?'

Table 3.7 shows that the majority of ever-married women in Tamil Nadu have freedom of movement. Seventy-nine percent of women say they do not need permission to go to the market and 56 percent say they do not need permission to visit friends or relatives. Freedom of movement increases with age. For example, only 46 percent of women age 15–19 do not need permission to visit friends or relatives, compared with 70 percent of women age 45–49. Illiterate women have slightly more freedom of movement than educated women. Freedom of movement is also slightly higher in urban areas, especially in Chennai, than in rural areas. It is also relatively high among scheduled-caste women and relatively low among Muslim women. Women who earn cash have more freedom of movement than other women. Even in the groups with the greatest freedom of movement, however, 15–20 percent of women need permission to go to the market and 30 percent of women need permission to visit relatives or friends or they are not permitted to go at all.

There is substantial variation in women's access to money by background characteristics. Overall, 79 percent of women say that they are allowed to have some money set aside that they can spend as they wish. Access to money increases with age, from 68 percent of women age 15–19 to 82–83 percent among women age 35–49. The percentage of women with access to money is higher in Chennai (85 percent) and urban areas (82 percent) than in rural areas (77 percent). Access to money is relatively high among women with at least a high school education and women who do not belong to a scheduled caste, a scheduled tribe, or an other backward class. It also increases gradually with the standard of living (from 77 percent of women with a low standard of living to 83 percent of women with a high standard of living). Differences in access to money by religion are negligible. As can be expected, women who earn cash for their work have greater access to money than women who are either working but not for cash or who did not work during the past 12 months.

# 3.7 Women's Educational Aspirations for Children

The desire to invest in improving the quality of children, including investing in their education, is important for bringing about transition to lower levels of fertility and improved health. In order to obtain information on this subject, NFHS-2 asked ever-married women for their opinion about how much education should be given to a boy or a girl. Women's responses to these questions also provide an indication of the degree of son preference prevailing at the time of the survey.

As shown in Table 3.8, 30 percent of women believe that a boy should be given as much education as he desires, compared with only 20 percent who believe that a girl should be given as much education as she desires. Forty-eight percent of women believe that an education above high school (higher secondary school, graduate and above, or professional degree) is appropriate for boys, whereas 37 percent feel that it is appropriate for girls. Notably, less than 1 percent of women feel that girls should not be given any education or should be given only a primary

#### Table 3.8 Perceived educational needs of girls and boys

Percent distribution of ever-married women by their opinion on how much education should be given to girls and boys, according to residence, Tamil Nadu, 1999

Educational level	Urban	Rural	Total
Education for girls			
No education	0.0	02	0.1
Primary school	0.1	0.6	0.5
Middle school	3.0	8.5	6.6
High school	15.0	28.8	24.0
Higher secondary school	15.4	19.6	18.1
Graduate and above	18.5	9.1	12.4
Professional degree	9.4	5.2	6.7
As much as she desires	28.9	16.0	20.4
Depends	9.4	11.7	10.9
Don't know	0.2	0.4	0.3
Missing	0.1	0.0	0.0
Total percent	100.0	100.0	100.0
Education for boys			
No education	0.1	0.0	0.0
Primary school	0.1	0.2	0.1
Middle school	0.7	1.0	0.9
High school	6.6	10.6	9.2
Higher secondary school	11.2	24.0	19.5
Graduate and above	17.9	15.7	16.5
Professional degree	15.0	9.7	11.6
As much as he desires	38.0	25.6	29.9
Depends	10.1	13.0	12.0
Don't know	0.2	0.3	0.2
Missing	0.1	0.0	0.0
Total percent	100.0	100.0	100.0

school education. Seven percent of women feel that girls should be given an education but not beyond middle school. The corresponding proportion for boys is only 1 percent.

Table 3.8 indicates that there are sharp urban-rural differences in women's educational aspirations for girls and boys. Rural respondents have lower educational aspirations than urban respondents, particularly for girls. Rural respondents are also less likely than urban respondents to say that girls and boys should be given as much education as they desire. It is notable, however, that even in rural areas 79 percent of women say that girls should be given at least a high school education or as much education as they desire.

### **3.8 Domestic Violence: Attitudes and Prevalence**

In recent years, there has been increasing concern about violence against women in general, and domestic violence in particular, in both developed and developing countries (United Nations General Assembly, 1991). Not only has domestic violence against women been acknowledged worldwide as a violation of the basic human rights of women, but an increasing amount of research highlights the health burdens, intergenerational effects, and demographic consequences of such violence (Heise et al., 1998; 1994; Jejeebhoy, 1998; Ramasubban and Singh, 1998; Rao and Bloch, 1993). In patriarchal societies such as India, women are not only socialized into being silent about their experience of violence but traditional norms teach them to accept, tolerate, and even rationalize domestic violence (Jaisingh, 1995; Hegde, 1996; Prasad, 1999). The recent IndiaSAFE multi-site study of family violence in India, conducted at about the same time as

NFHS-2, finds violence by husbands to be fairly widespread (International Clinical Epidemiology Network, 2000). Both tolerance of and experience of domestic violence are significant barriers to the empowerment of women, with consequences for women's health, their health-seeking behaviour, their adoption of a small family norm, and the health of their children. In NFHS-2, an attempt was made to assess whether women view wife-beating as justified and to measure the prevalence of violence against women including, but not limited to, violence committed by a woman's husband. Special training was provided to interviewers to sensitize them to the issue of domestic violence and impress upon them the necessity of ensuring privacy when asking these questions.

In order to assess women's attitudes towards wife-beating, before asking about personal experience with domestic violence, the survey asked all respondents whether they thought that a husband is justified in beating his wife for each of the following reasons: if he suspects her of being unfaithful; if her natal family does not give expected money, jewellery, or other items; if she shows disrespect for her in-laws; if she goes out without telling him; if she neglects the house or children; or if she does not cook food properly. These reasons, which range from reasons that involve suspicions about a wife's moral character to those that may be considered more trivial, such as not cooking properly, were chosen to provide variation in the perceived seriousness of behavioural-norm violation. Table 3.9 gives the percentages of ever-married women who agree with various reasons for justifying wife-beating by background characteristics.

Almost three-quarters of ever-married women in Tamil Nadu (72 percent) accept at least one reason as justification for wife-beating. Women are most likely to agree that wife-beating is justified if the wife neglects the house or children (60 percent) and least likely to agree that wifebeating is justified if her natal family does not give expected money or other items (3 percent). If a wife goes out without telling her husband, 51 percent of women say that the husband would be justified in beating her. Forty-one percent of women agree that wife-beating is justified if the wife shows disrespect for in-laws, whereas roughly one-fifth of women agree that wife-beating is justified if the wife does not cook food properly (22 percent) or if the husband suspects that she is unfaithful (17 percent).

Table 3.9 indicates that there are few differences by age or marital duration in women's attitudes towards wife-beating. There are urban-rural differences in these attitudes. Not only do a higher proportion of rural women (75 percent) than urban women (66 percent) agree with at least one reason justifying wife-beating, but rural women are also more likely than urban women to agree with each specific reason. Justification for wife-beating is less common in Chennai than in urban areas as a whole. Agreement with at least one reason and with each of the different reasons for wife-beating declines steadily with education, but differences are small except among women who have completed at least high school. Seventy-six percent of illiterate women agree with at least one reason justifying wife-beating, compared with 57 percent of women who have completed at least high school.

The proportion of women who agree with at least one reason for justifying wife-beating is highest among Hindu women, intermediate among Muslim women, and lowest among Christian women. This pattern holds for most of the specific reasons for justifying wife-beating. Table 3.9 also shows that women belonging to scheduled castes or other backward classes (71–77 percent) are somewhat more tolerant of wife-beating than are 'other' women (50 percent).

### Table 3.9 Reasons given for justifying a husband beating his wife

Percentage of ever-married women who agree with specific reasons for justifying a husband beating his wife by selected background characteristics, Tamil Nadu, 1999

Percentage who agree Background characteristic   Husband wile alloss one reason   Natal family does not give wile shows one ritems   Wile shows wile shows for in-taws   Wile goes background base of husband   Wile medge wile shows children   Wile medge background base of husband   Wile medge background base of husband   Wile medge wile husband   Wile medge background base of husband   Wile medge background b			Percentage who agree with specific reasons						
Age $15-19$ 74.216.73.046.953.859.422.224530-3971.317.93.340.649.755.820.91.55740-4972.218.72.839.651.358.124.91.140Sample Constraints $22.2$ 2.839.651.358.129.91.55740-49 $37.2$ 48.561.220.558.770.99.6531.372.448.561.220.558.710 or more74.818.03.342.352.851.823.22.454Not currently married66.212.81.533.945.355.214.51.620Rural75.219.64.044.054.062.226.13.066Chemai59.95.71.130.948.151.95829.9Chemai75.619.64.943.955.063.326.72.211Liberate, < middle school75.318.51.942.854.762.722.81.085Middle school75.318.51.942.854.762.722.81.085Middle school75.318.51.942.854.762.722.81.085Middle school71.915.31.942.151.058.618.062.9And above57.010.10.625.833.445.910.5	Background characteristic	Percentage who agree with at least one reason	Husband suspects wife is unfaithful	Natal family does not give money or other items	Wife shows disrespect for in-laws	Wife goes out without telling husband	Wife neglects house or children	Wife does not cook food properly	Number of women
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	4.00								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	15_19	74.2	16.7	3.0	46 9	53.8	59.4	22.2	245
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20–29	72.4	15.7	3.2	40.2	51.5	61.0	21.2	1,734
$40-49$ 72.218.72.839.651.358.124.91,140Marial duration (in years) $\leq 5$ 68.915.12.540.050.556.119.795.4 $\leq 5$ 970.916.53.137.248.561.220.583710 or more74.818.03.342.352.861.823.22,454Not currently maried65.918.93.537.746.553.623.8431ResidenceUrban66.212.81.533.945.355.214.51,620Chennai59.95.71.130.948.151.95.8289EducationUitrate75.619.64.943.955.063.326.72,221Literate, < middle school	30–39	71.3	17.9	3.3	40.6	49.7	59.8	20.9	1,557
Marital duration (in years) $< 5$ $68.9$ $15.1$ $2.5$ $40.0$ $50.5$ $56.1$ $19.7$ $954$ $5-9$ $70.9$ $16.5$ $3.1$ $37.2$ $48.5$ $61.8$ $22.2$ $2.454$ Not currently married $65.9$ $18.9$ $3.5$ $37.7$ $48.5$ $61.8$ $22.2$ $2.454$ Residence $Whan$ $66.2$ $12.8$ $1.5$ $33.9$ $45.3$ $55.2$ $14.5$ $1.620$ Urban $66.2$ $12.8$ $1.5$ $33.9$ $45.3$ $55.2$ $14.5$ $1.620$ Chennai $59.9$ $5.7$ $1.1$ $30.9$ $48.1$ $51.9$ $5.8$ $289$ EducationIlliterate $75.6$ $19.6$ $4.9$ $43.9$ $55.0$ $63.3$ $26.7$ $2.221$ Literate, < middle school complete	40–49	72.2	18.7	2.8	39.6	51.3	58.1	24.9	1,140
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Marital duration (in years)								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	< 5	68.9	15.1	2.5	40.0	50.5	56.1	19.7	954
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	5–9	70.9	16.5	3.1	37.2	48.5	61.2	20.5	837
Not currently married   65.9   18.9   3.5   37.7   46.5   53.6   23.8   431     Residence Urban   66.2   12.8   1.5   33.9   45.3   55.2   14.5   1.620     Rural   75.2   19.6   4.0   44.0   54.0   62.2   26.1   3.056     Chennai   59.9   5.7   1.1   30.9   48.1   51.9   5.8   289     Education   Illiterate   75.3   18.5   1.9   42.8   54.7   62.7   22.8   1.085     Middle school complete   71.9   15.3   1.9   42.1   51.0   58.6   18.0   629     High school complete   77.0   10.1   0.6   25.8   33.4   45.9   10.5   741     Religion   Religion <t< td=""><td>10 or more</td><td>74.8</td><td>18.0</td><td>3.3</td><td>42.3</td><td>52.8</td><td>61.8</td><td>23.2</td><td>2,454</td></t<>	10 or more	74.8	18.0	3.3	42.3	52.8	61.8	23.2	2,454
ResidenceUrban Rural $66.2$ $12.8$ $1.5$ $33.9$ $45.3$ $55.2$ $14.5$ $1,620$ Chennai $59.9$ $5.7$ $1.1$ $30.9$ $48.1$ $51.9$ $5.8$ $289$ Education ulliterate complete and above $75.6$ $19.6$ $4.9$ $43.9$ $55.0$ $63.3$ $62.7$ $22.21$ Illiterate ulliterate, < middle school complete and above $75.3$ $18.5$ $1.9$ $42.8$ $54.7$ $62.7$ $22.8$ $1,085$ Hiddle school complete and above $71.9$ $15.3$ $1.9$ $42.1$ $51.0$ $55.6$ $63.3$ $26.7$ $2.221$ Hindu usin Christian $72.9$ $17.3$ $3.3$ $41.0$ $51.4$ $60.5$ $23.1$ $4.145$ Muslim Scheduled caste Cheduled caste Cher uled caste Cher uled caste (61.6) $76.6$ $18.3$ $3.9$ $42.6$ $55.6$ $64.8$ $24.8$ $1,089$ Cher uled caste Scheduled tribe (61.6) $76.6$ $18.3$ $3.9$ $42.6$ $55.6$ $64.8$ $24.8$ $1,089$ Cher uled caste working for cash Not worked in past 12 months $73.6$ $19.6$ $4.1$ $40.6$ $51.6$ $60.3$ $23.7$ $2.075$ Cash employment Medium High $73.6$ $19.6$ $4.1$ $40.6$ $51.6$ $60.3$ $23.7$ $2.075$ Cash employment Moting for cash Not worked in past 12 months $73.6$ $19.6$ $4.1$ $40.6$ $51.6$ <	Not currently married	65.9	18.9	3.5	37.7	46.5	53.6	23.8	431
Urban Rural $66.2$ $12.8$ $1.5$ $33.9$ $45.3$ $55.2$ $14.5$ $1.620$ Rural $75.2$ $19.6$ $4.0$ $44.0$ $54.0$ $62.2$ $26.1$ $3.056$ Chennai $59.9$ $5.7$ $1.1$ $30.9$ $48.1$ $51.9$ $5.8$ $289$ EducationIlliterate Literate, < middle school complete $75.3$ $18.5$ $1.9$ $42.8$ $54.7$ $62.7$ $22.8$ $1.085$ Middle school complete and above $77.9$ $15.3$ $1.9$ $42.1$ $51.0$ $58.6$ $18.0$ $62.7$ Hindu Muslim $72.9$ $17.3$ $3.3$ $41.0$ $51.4$ $60.5$ $23.1$ $4.145$ Muslim Scheduled caste Other backward class $76.6$ $18.3$ $3.9$ $42.6$ $55.6$ $64.8$ $24.8$ $1.089$ Scheduled tribe Other backward class $73.6$ $18.3$ $3.9$ $42.6$ $55.6$ $64.8$ $24.8$ $1.089$ Scheduled tribe Noting for cash Not worked in past 12 months $73.2$ $16.0$ $3.5$ $40.3$ $50.9$ $62.2$ $26.0$ $441$ Noting for cash Not worked in past 12 months $73.2$ $16.0$ $3.5$ $40.3$ $50.9$ $63.2$ $27.1$ $1.756$ Medium Maing $73.7$ $16.7$ $2.5$ $40.9$ $51.9$ $63.2$ $27.1$ $1.756$ Moring for cash Not worked in past 12 months $76.0$ $20.2$ $4.3$ $45.0$ $55.9$ $6$	Residence								
Rural 75.2 19.6 4.0 44.0 54.0 62.2 26.1 3.056   Chennai 59.9 5.7 1.1 30.9 48.1 51.9 5.8 289   Education Illiterate 75.6 19.6 4.9 43.9 55.0 63.3 26.7 2.221   Literate, < middle school complete 75.3 18.5 1.9 42.8 54.7 62.7 22.8 1.085   Middle school complete 71.9 15.3 1.9 42.1 51.0 58.6 18.0 629   High school complete 71.9 15.3 1.9 42.1 51.0 58.6 18.0 629   Hinds chool complete 71.0 10.1 0.6 25.8 33.4 45.9 10.5 741   Religion T T 3.3 41.0 51.4 60.5 23.1 4.145   Muslim 68.0 19.9 2.3 39.6 52.6 53.8 15.0 277   Christian 63.2 13.7 1.5 31.7 41.2 <	Urban	66.2	12.8	1.5	33.9	45.3	55.2	14.5	1,620
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Rural	75.2	19.6	4.0	44.0	54.0	62.2	26.1	3,056
Education Illiferate complete omplete and above75.619.64.943.955.063.326.72.221Literate, complete and above75.318.51.942.854.762.722.81.085Middle school complete and above71.915.31.942.151.058.618.0629Middle school complete and above57.010.10.625.833.445.910.5741Religion Musim Musim72.917.33.341.051.460.523.14.1457Christian63.213.71.531.652.653.815.0277Christian63.213.71.531.741.256.113.0242Caste/tribe Scheduled tribe 0(61.6)(21.5)(6.2)(29.3)(37.0)(46.2)(27.7)39Other backward class Not working for cash Not working nast 12 months73.619.64.140.651.660.323.72.075Standard of living index Low Medium73.716.72.540.951.963.227.11.756Low Medium73.716.72.540.951.963.227.11.756Total72.117.23.140.551.059.822.14.676	Chennai	59.9	5.7	1.1	30.9	48.1	51.9	5.8	289
Illiterate Literate, < middle school complete75.619.64.943.955.063.326.72,221Literate, < middle school complete75.318.51.942.854.762.722.81,085Middle school complete and above71.915.31.942.151.058.618.0629High school complete and above57.010.10.625.833.445.910.5741Religion HinduHindu Muslim72.917.33.341.051.460.523.14,145Muslim Ochristian63.213.71.531.741.256.113.0242Caste/tribe Scheduled caste Other backward class76.618.33.942.655.664.824.81,089Scheduled tribe Other(61.6)(21.5)(6.2)(29.3)(37.0)(46.2)(27.7)39Other backward class71.316.92.940.550.158.821.43,469Other49.614.41.419.332.540.010.279Caste fribe Scheduled tribe Other73.619.64.140.651.660.323.72,075Working for cash Not worked in past 12 months70.315.22.140.450.358.919.62,160Standard of living index Low Medium <b< td=""><td>Education</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></b<>	Education								
Literate, < middle schoolcomplete75.318.51.942.854.762.722.81,085Middle school complete71.915.31.942.151.058.618.0629High school complete77.010.10.625.833.445.910.5741 <b>Religion</b> Hindu72.917.33.341.051.460.523.14,145Muslim68.019.92.339.652.653.815.0277Christian63.213.71.531.741.256.113.0242Caste/tribeScheduled caste76.618.33.942.655.664.824.81,089Scheduled caste71.316.92.940.550.158.821.43,469Other49.614.41.419.332.540.010.279Cash employmentWorking for cash73.619.64.140.651.660.323.72,075Working for cash73.216.03.540.350.962.226.0441Not worked in past 1270.315.22.140.450.358.919.62,160Medium73.716.72.540.951.961.222.02,168High57.911.71.728.436.247.2<	Illiterate	75.6	19.6	4.9	43.9	55.0	63.3	26.7	2,221
$\begin{array}{cccc} complete & 7.5.3 & 18.5 & 1.9 & 42.8 & 54.7 & 62.7 & 22.8 & 1,085 \\ \mbox{Middle school complete} & 71.9 & 15.3 & 1.9 & 42.1 & 51.0 & 58.6 & 18.0 & 629 \\ \mbox{High school complete} & 57.0 & 10.1 & 0.6 & 25.8 & 33.4 & 45.9 & 10.5 & 741 \\ \mbox{Religion} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Literate, < middle school		40 -	4.0	40.0		00 <del>-</del>		4 005
Mildle school complete and above 71.9 15.3 1.9 42.1 51.0 58.6 16.0 629   High school complete and above 57.0 10.1 0.6 25.8 33.4 45.9 10.5 741   Religion Hindu 72.9 17.3 3.3 41.0 51.4 60.5 23.1 4,145   Muslim 68.0 19.9 2.3 39.6 52.6 53.8 15.0 277   Christian 63.2 13.7 1.5 31.7 41.2 56.1 13.0 242   Caste/tribe Scheduled tribe (61.6) (21.5) (6.2) (29.3) (37.0) (46.2) (27.7) 39   Other backward class 71.3 16.9 2.9 40.5 50.1 58.8 21.4 3,469   Other 49.6 14.4 1.4 19.3 32.5 40.0 10.2 79   Cast employment 73.6 19.6 4.1 40.6 51.6 60.3 23.7 2,075   Working but not for cash 73.2 16.0 3.5 40.	complete	75.3	18.5	1.9	42.8	54.7	62.7	22.8	1,085
Initial and above 57.0 10.1 0.6 25.8 33.4 45.9 10.5 741   Religion Hindu 72.9 17.3 3.3 41.0 51.4 60.5 23.1 4,145   Muslim 68.0 19.9 2.3 39.6 52.6 53.8 15.0 277   Christian 63.2 13.7 1.5 31.7 41.2 56.1 13.0 242   Caste/tribe Scheduled caste 76.6 18.3 3.9 42.6 55.6 64.8 24.8 1,089   Scheduled tribe (61.6) (21.5) (6.2) (29.3) (37.0) (46.2) (27.7) 39   Other backward class 71.3 16.9 2.9 40.5 50.1 58.8 21.4 3,469   Other 49.6 14.4 1.4 19.3 32.5 40.0 10.2 79   Cash employment Working for cash 73.2 16.0 3.5 40.3 50.9 62.2 26.0 441   Not worked in past 12 70.3 15.2	High school complete	71.9	15.5	1.9	42.1	51.0	0.00	16.0	029
Religion Hindu   72.9   17.3   3.3   41.0   51.4   60.5   23.1   4,145     Muslim   68.0   19.9   2.3   39.6   52.6   53.8   15.0   277     Christian   63.2   13.7   1.5   31.7   41.2   56.1   13.0   242     Caste/tribe   Scheduled caste   76.6   18.3   3.9   42.6   55.6   64.8   24.8   1,089     Scheduled tribe   (61.6)   (21.5)   (6.2)   (29.3)   (37.0)   (46.2)   (27.7)   39     Other backward class   71.3   16.9   2.9   40.5   50.1   58.8   21.4   3,469     Other   49.6   14.4   1.4   19.3   32.5   40.0   10.2   79     Cast   73.6   19.6   4.1   40.6   51.6   60.3   23.7   2,075     Working for cash   73.2   16.0   3.5   40.3   50.9   63.2   27.1   1,756	and above	57.0	10.1	0.6	25.8	33.4	45.9	10.5	741
Religion   Hindu 72.9 17.3 3.3 41.0 51.4 60.5 23.1 4,145   Muslim 68.0 19.9 2.3 39.6 52.6 53.8 15.0 277   Christian 63.2 13.7 1.5 31.7 41.2 56.1 13.0 242   Caste/tribe   Scheduled caste 76.6 18.3 3.9 42.6 55.6 64.8 24.8 1,089   Scheduled tribe (61.6) (21.5) (6.2) (29.3) (37.0) (46.2) (27.7) 39   Other backward class 71.3 16.9 2.9 40.5 50.1 58.8 21.4 3,469   Other 49.6 14.4 1.4 19.3 32.5 40.0 10.2 79   Cash employment   Working for cash 73.6 19.6 4.1 40.6 51.6 60.3 23.7 2,075   Working but not for cash 73.2 16.0 3.5 40.3 50.9 63.2 27.1	Dellaten								
Initidu $72.9$ $17.3$ $3.3$ $41.0$ $51.4$ $60.3$ $23.1$ $4,14$ Muslim $68.0$ $19.9$ $2.3$ $39.6$ $52.6$ $53.8$ $15.0$ $277$ Christian $63.2$ $13.7$ $1.5$ $31.7$ $41.2$ $56.1$ $13.0$ $242$ Caste/tribeScheduled caste $76.6$ $18.3$ $3.9$ $42.6$ $55.6$ $64.8$ $24.8$ $1,089$ Scheduled tribe $(61.6)$ $(21.5)$ $(6.2)$ $(29.3)$ $(37.0)$ $(46.2)$ $(27.7)$ $39$ Other backward class $71.3$ $16.9$ $2.9$ $40.5$ $50.1$ $58.8$ $21.4$ $3,469$ Other $49.6$ $14.4$ $1.4$ $19.3$ $32.5$ $40.0$ $10.2$ $79$ Cash employment $Working for cash$ $73.6$ $19.6$ $4.1$ $40.6$ $51.6$ $60.3$ $23.7$ $2,075$ Working but not for cash $73.2$ $16.0$ $3.5$ $40.3$ $50.9$ $62.2$ $26.0$ $441$ Not worked in past 12 months $70.3$ $15.2$ $2.1$ $40.4$ $50.3$ $58.9$ $19.6$ $2,160$ Standard of living index High $76.0$ $20.2$ $4.3$ $45.0$ $55.9$ $63.2$ $27.1$ $1,756$ Medium $73.7$ $16.7$ $2.5$ $40.9$ $51.9$ $61.2$ $22.0$ $2,168$ High $57.9$ $11.7$ $1.7$ $28.4$ $36.2$ $47.2$ $10.3$ $704$ <td>Hindu</td> <td>72.0</td> <td>17.0</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>41.0</td> <td><b>51</b> 4</td> <td>60 F</td> <td>22.1</td> <td>4 145</td>	Hindu	72.0	17.0	2.2	41.0	<b>51</b> 4	60 F	22.1	4 145
International Christian $63.2$ $13.7$ $1.5$ $2.3$ $50.5$ $50.5$ $10.5$ $211$ Christian $63.2$ $13.7$ $1.5$ $31.7$ $41.2$ $56.1$ $13.0$ $242$ Caste/tribe Scheduled caste $76.6$ $18.3$ $3.9$ $42.6$ $55.6$ $64.8$ $24.8$ $1,089$ Scheduled tribe $(61.6)$ $(21.5)$ $(6.2)$ $(29.3)$ $(37.0)$ $(46.2)$ $(27.7)$ $39$ Otherbackward class $71.3$ $16.9$ $2.9$ $40.5$ $50.1$ $58.8$ $21.4$ $3,469$ Other $49.6$ $14.4$ $1.4$ $19.3$ $32.5$ $40.0$ $10.2$ $79$ Cash employment Working for cash $73.6$ $19.6$ $4.1$ $40.6$ $51.6$ $60.3$ $23.7$ $2,075$ Working but not for cash Not worked in past $12$ months $70.3$ $15.2$ $2.1$ $40.4$ $50.3$ $58.9$ $19.6$ $2,160$ Standard of living index High $57.9$ $11.7$ $2.5$ $40.9$ $51.9$ $63.2$ $27.1$ $1,756$ Index $72.1$ $17.2$ $3.1$ $40.5$ $51.0$ $59.8$ $22.1$ $4,676$	Muslim	68.0	17.5	3.3 2.3	39.6	52.6	53.8	23.1	4,145
Caste/tribeScheduled caste $76.6$ $18.3$ $3.9$ $42.6$ $55.6$ $64.8$ $24.8$ $1,089$ Scheduled tribe $(61.6)$ $(21.5)$ $(6.2)$ $(29.3)$ $(37.0)$ $(46.2)$ $(27.7)$ $39$ Other backward class $71.3$ $16.9$ $2.9$ $40.5$ $50.1$ $58.8$ $21.4$ $3,469$ Other $49.6$ $14.4$ $1.4$ $19.3$ $32.5$ $40.0$ $10.2$ $79$ Cash employmentWorking for cash $73.6$ $19.6$ $4.1$ $40.6$ $51.6$ $60.3$ $23.7$ $2,075$ Working but not for cash $73.2$ $16.0$ $3.5$ $40.3$ $50.9$ $62.2$ $26.0$ $441$ Not worked in past $12$ $70.3$ $15.2$ $2.1$ $40.4$ $50.3$ $58.9$ $19.6$ $2,160$ Standard of living index $L$ $L$ $L$ $L$ $L$ $L$ $L$ $L$ Low $76.0$ $20.2$ $4.3$ $45.0$ $55.9$ $63.2$ $27.1$ $1,756$ Medium $73.7$ $16.7$ $2.5$ $40.9$ $51.9$ $61.2$ $22.0$ $2,168$ High $57.9$ $11.7$ $1.7$ $28.4$ $36.2$ $47.2$ $10.3$ $704$ Total $72.1$ $17.2$ $3.1$ $40.5$ $51.0$ $59.8$ $22.1$ $4,676$	Christian	63.2	13.7	1.5	31.7	41.2	56.1	13.0	242
CasterindeScheduled caste $76.6$ $18.3$ $3.9$ $42.6$ $55.6$ $64.8$ $24.8$ $1,089$ Scheduled tribe $(61.6)$ $(21.5)$ $(6.2)$ $(29.3)$ $(37.0)$ $(46.2)$ $(27.7)$ $39$ Other backward class $71.3$ $16.9$ $2.9$ $40.5$ $50.1$ $58.8$ $21.4$ $3,469$ Other backward class $71.3$ $16.9$ $2.9$ $40.5$ $50.1$ $58.8$ $21.4$ $3,469$ Other $49.6$ $14.4$ $1.4$ $19.3$ $32.5$ $40.0$ $10.2$ $79$ Cash employmentWorking for cash $73.6$ $19.6$ $4.1$ $40.6$ $51.6$ $60.3$ $23.7$ $2,075$ Working but not for cash $73.2$ $16.0$ $3.5$ $40.3$ $50.9$ $62.2$ $26.0$ $441$ Not worked in past 12months $70.3$ $15.2$ $2.1$ $40.4$ $50.3$ $58.9$ $19.6$ $2,160$ Standard of living indexLow $76.0$ $20.2$ $4.3$ $45.0$ $55.9$ $63.2$ $27.1$ $1,756$ Medium $73.7$ $16.7$ $2.5$ $40.9$ $51.9$ $61.2$ $22.0$ $2,168$ High $57.9$ $11.7$ $1.7$ $28.4$ $36.2$ $47.2$ $10.3$ $704$	Coote litrih e								
Scheduled taske $70.0$ $10.3$ $10.3$ $3.5$ $42.0$ $30.0$ $04.3$ $24.3$ $1,03$ Scheduled tribe $(61.6)$ $(21.5)$ $(6.2)$ $(29.3)$ $(37.0)$ $(46.2)$ $(27.7)$ $39$ Other backward class $71.3$ $16.9$ $2.9$ $40.5$ $50.1$ $58.8$ $21.4$ $3,469$ Other $49.6$ $14.4$ $1.4$ $19.3$ $32.5$ $40.0$ $10.2$ $79$ Cash employment $Vorking for cash$ $73.6$ $19.6$ $4.1$ $40.6$ $51.6$ $60.3$ $23.7$ $2,075$ Working but not for cash $73.2$ $16.0$ $3.5$ $40.3$ $50.9$ $62.2$ $26.0$ $441$ Not worked in past 12 $months$ $70.3$ $15.2$ $2.1$ $40.4$ $50.3$ $58.9$ $19.6$ $2,160$ Standard of living indexLow $76.0$ $20.2$ $4.3$ $45.0$ $55.9$ $63.2$ $27.1$ $1,756$ Medium $73.7$ $16.7$ $2.5$ $40.9$ $51.9$ $61.2$ $22.0$ $2,168$ High $57.9$ $11.7$ $1.7$ $28.4$ $36.2$ $47.2$ $10.3$ $704$ Total $72.1$ $17.2$ $3.1$ $40.5$ $51.0$ $59.8$ $22.1$ $4,676$	Schodulod casto	76.6	19.3	3.0	12.6	55.6	64.8	24.8	1 080
Other backward class $(1.5)$ $(21.5)$ $(21.5)$ $(21.5)$ $(21.5)$ $(1.5)$ $(1.5)$ $(21.7)$ <	Scheduled tribe	(61.6)	(21.5)	(6.2)	(29.3)	(37.0)	(46.2)	(27.7)	1,009
Other   49.6   14.4   1.4   19.3   32.5   40.0   10.2   79     Cash employment   Working for cash   73.6   19.6   4.1   40.6   51.6   60.3   23.7   2,075     Working but not for cash   73.2   16.0   3.5   40.3   50.9   62.2   26.0   441     Not worked in past 12   70.3   15.2   2.1   40.4   50.3   58.9   19.6   2,160     Standard of living index   Low   76.0   20.2   4.3   45.0   55.9   63.2   27.1   1,756     Medium   73.7   16.7   2.5   40.9   51.9   61.2   22.0   2,168     High   57.9   11.7   1.7   28.4   36.2   47.2   10.3   704     Total   72.1   17.2   3.1   40.5   51.0   59.8   22.1   4,676	Other backward class	71.3	16.9	2.9	40.5	50.1	58.8	21.4	3.469
Cash employment Working for cash Not worked in past 12 months73.6 73.219.6 16.04.1 3.540.6 40.351.6 50.960.3 62.223.7 26.02,075 441Standard of living index Low High76.0 73.720.2 16.74.3 2.540.450.358.919.62,160Standard of living index High73.7 73.716.7 16.72.5 2.540.9 40.955.9 51.963.2 61.2 61.227.1 22.01,756 2,168Total72.117.23.140.551.059.822.14,676	Other	49.6	14.4	1.4	19.3	32.5	40.0	10.2	79
Working for cash   73.6   19.6   4.1   40.6   51.6   60.3   23.7   2,075     Working but not for cash   73.2   16.0   3.5   40.3   50.9   62.2   26.0   441     Not worked in past 12 months   70.3   15.2   2.1   40.4   50.3   58.9   19.6   2,160     Standard of living index   Low   76.0   20.2   4.3   45.0   55.9   63.2   27.1   1,756     Hedium   73.7   16.7   2.5   40.9   51.9   61.2   22.0   2,168     High   57.9   11.7   1.7   28.4   36.2   47.2   10.3   704     Total   72.1   17.2   3.1   40.5   51.0   59.8   22.1   4,676	Cash employment								
Working but not for cash Not worked in past 12 months 73.2 16.0 3.5 40.3 50.9 62.2 26.0 441   Standard of living index 70.3 15.2 2.1 40.4 50.3 58.9 19.6 2,160   Standard of living index 73.7 16.7 2.5 40.9 51.9 63.2 27.1 1,756   High 73.7 16.7 2.5 40.9 51.9 61.2 22.0 2,168   Total 72.1 17.2 3.1 40.5 51.0 59.8 22.1 4,676	Working for cash	73.6	19.6	4.1	40.6	51.6	60.3	23.7	2.075
Not worked in past 12 months   70.3   15.2   2.1   40.4   50.3   58.9   19.6   2,160     Standard of living index   Low   76.0   20.2   4.3   45.0   55.9   63.2   27.1   1,756     Low   73.7   16.7   2.5   40.9   51.9   61.2   22.0   2,168     High   57.9   11.7   1.7   28.4   36.2   47.2   10.3   704     Total   72.1   17.2   3.1   40.5   51.0   59.8   22.1   4,676	Working but not for cash	73.2	16.0	3.5	40.3	50.9	62.2	26.0	441
months70.315.22.140.450.358.919.62,160Standard of living indexLow76.020.24.345.055.963.227.11,756Medium73.716.72.540.951.961.222.02,168High57.911.71.728.436.247.210.3704Total72.117.23.140.551.059.822.14,676	Not worked in past 12								
Standard of living index   76.0   20.2   4.3   45.0   55.9   63.2   27.1   1,756     Medium   73.7   16.7   2.5   40.9   51.9   61.2   22.0   2,168     High   57.9   11.7   1.7   28.4   36.2   47.2   10.3   704     Total   72.1   17.2   3.1   40.5   51.0   59.8   22.1   4,676	months	70.3	15.2	2.1	40.4	50.3	58.9	19.6	2,160
Low Medium High76.0 73.7 57.920.2 16.7 16.7 11.74.3 2.5 2.5 11.745.0 40.9 2.5 28.455.9 61.2 36.2 47.263.2 27.1 22.0 11.227.1 2,168 704Total72.117.23.140.551.059.822.14,676	Standard of living index								
Medium High73.7 57.916.7 11.72.5 1.740.9 28.451.9 36.261.2 47.222.0 10.32,168 704Total72.117.23.140.551.059.822.14,676	Low	76.0	20.2	4.3	45.0	55.9	63.2	27.1	1,756
High57.911.71.728.436.247.210.3704Total72.117.23.140.551.059.822.14,676	Medium	73.7	16.7	2.5	40.9	51.9	61.2	22.0	2,168
Total   72.1   17.2   3.1   40.5   51.0   59.8   22.1   4,676	High	57.9	11.7	1.7	28.4	36.2	47.2	10.3	704
	Total	72.1	17.2	3.1	40.5	51.0	59.8	22.1	4,676

Note: Total includes 7 women belonging to other religions and 6, 1, and 48 women with missing information on religion, caste/tribe, and the standard of living index, respectively, who are not shown separately. () Based on 25–49 unweighted cases

As expected, the proportion of women who agree that wife-beating is justified declines as the standard of living increases. The difference is greatest between women with a low or medium standard of living (74–76 percent) and women with a high standard of living (58 percent). However, the expectation that women who work, especially those who work for cash, would be less likely than other women to justify wife-beating, is not borne out for Tamil Nadu. Women who have not worked in the past 12 months are slightly less likely than women who have worked to justify wife-beating for each reason given in Table 3.9. The percentage of respondents who agree with at least one reason for justifying wife-beating is lower among women who did not work during the past 12 months (70 percent) than among women who either worked for cash or worked but not for cash (73–74 percent). This finding can be partly explained by the fact that the majority of working women in Tamil Nadu are agricultural workers, who are likely to live in rural areas and to have relatively low educational attainment. Overall, the majority of women in almost all groups agree with at least one reason for wife-beating. This finding attests to the widespread socialization of women in norms that give husbands the right to use force to discipline wives who are perceived to be violating traditional gender norms.

In order to assess the prevalence of domestic violence, NFHS-2 asked women if they had been beaten or mistreated physically since age 15.<sup>1</sup> Women who reported being beaten or physically mistreated were asked who beat or physically mistreated them. Interviewers recorded all the persons mentioned by the respondent. As mentioned earlier, there is a culture of silence surrounding the topic of domestic violence that makes the collection of data on this sensitive topic particularly difficult. Even women who want to speak about their experience with domestic violence may find it difficult because of feelings of shame or fear. This may be more true if violence occurred recently (for example, in the preceding 12 months) than in the more distant past. In addition, depending on the varied cultural meanings ascribed to different acts, there may be women who do not report their experience of domestic violence because they do not view it as violence or physical mistreatment. For these reasons, NFHS-2 results on the prevalence of domestic violence need to be interpreted with caution.

Table 3.10 presents results on the prevalence of beatings or physical mistreatment since age 15 by women's background characteristics. Prevalence is also shown according to the person(s) who beat or physically mistreated them—their husbands, their in-laws, or other persons. According to the reports of respondents, 40 percent of women in Tamil Nadu have experienced violence since age 15 (higher than the national average of 21 percent), and 36 percent have been beaten or physically mistreated by their husbands. Less than 1 percent have been beaten or physically mistreated by in-laws and 9 percent by other persons. This implies that among women who were beaten, 9 out of 10 (89 percent) have been beaten by their husbands and more than 2 out of 10 have been beaten by others.

Women age 15–19 are less likely than older women to have been beaten, but because of their young age they have had less time to be exposed to the risk of being beaten since age 15. Similarly, women who have been married for less than five years are less likely to have been beaten (29 percent) than women who have been married longer (40–44 percent). Urban women (36 percent), including those in Chennai (35 percent), are less likely than rural women (43 percent) to experience violence, and illiterate women (50 percent) are much more likely to have experienced violence than women who have completed at least high school (21 percent). The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The question does not limit women to reporting only domestic violence, but almost all women who report any violence report beatings or physical mistreatment only by husbands or relatives.

### Table 3.10 Women's experience with beatings or physical mistreatment

Percentage of ever-married women who have been beaten or physically mistreated by their husband, in-laws, or other persons since age 15, according to selected background characteristics, Tamil Nadu, 1999

	Percentage beaten or	Percentage mistreated	physically I5 by:	Number	
Background characteristic	mistreated since age 15	Husband	In-laws	Other persons	of women
Age					
<b></b>	29.7	23.6	0.5	9.4	245
20–29	38.7	33.2	0.4	11.3	1.734
30–39	41.5	38.0	0.3	8.2	1,557
40–49	43.6	40.2	0.7	6.7	1,140
Marital duration (in years)					
	29.3	21.5	02	13.3	954
5_9	40.1	35.1	0.6	10.0	837
10 or more	43.9	40.8	0.3	7.4	2.454
Not currently married	45.2	42.9	1.4	6.9	431
Residence	05.0	00.0	0.5	40.5	4 000
Urban	35.6	29.6	0.5	12.5	1,620
Rurai	42.9	39.4	0.4	1.2	3,050
Chennai	34.7	28.9	0.4	12.1	289
Education					
Illiterate	50.0	46.8	0.5	8.0	2 221
Literate. < middle school complete	38.9	34.1	0.7	9.7	1.085
Middle school complete	32.3	27.0	0.3	11.2	629
High school complete and above	20.5	14.3	0.0	9.2	741
Polizion					
Hindu	417	37 5	05	8 9	4 145
Muslim	30.8	25.9	0.0	8.9	277
Christian	28.5	22.0	0.5	10.2	242
Casto/triba					
Sebeduled easte	51.6	19.0	0.6	0 1	1 090
Scheduled tribe	(57.8)	(53.0)	(0.8)	(7.0)	1,009
Other backward class	37.2	(33.9)	0.0)	9.2	3 469
Other	15.3	9.3	0.4	10.1	79
	10.0	0.0	0.0	10.1	10
Household type					
Nuclear household	43.7	39.9	0.4	9.4	2,817
Non-nuclear household	35.3	30.1	0.5	8.4	1,859
Cash employment					
Working for cash	47.5	43.8	0.6	9.0	2,075
Working but not for cash	45.0	40.8	0.3	7.9	441
Not worked in past 12 months	32.6	27.6	0.3	9.2	2,160
Standard of living index					
Low	49.7	46.3	0.6	8.8	1,756
Medium	39.8	34.9	0.5	9.9	2,168
High	20.0	15.3	0.2	7.0	704
l iving children					
No living children	31.8	24 3	0.0	12.6	510
Only daughters	38.8	34.6	0.3	95	905
Only sons	39.4	34 4	0.3	91	1,100
Both daughters and sons	43.6	40.3	0.7	7.9	2,153
Total	40.4	36.0	0 5	0.0	1 676
ιυιαι	40.4	30.0	0.5	9.0	4,070
Note: Total includes 7 women belonging information on religion, caste/tribe, and t	to other religions he standard of live	s and 6, 1, an /ing index, re	d 48 wome spectively,	en with miss who are not	ing t shown

separately. () Based on 25–49 unweighted cases

prevalence of domestic violence decreases substantially as the standard of living increases. Specifically, 50 percent of women with a low standard of living have experienced violence, compared with 40 percent of women with a medium standard of living and 20 percent of women with a high standard of living.

Women from nuclear households are more likely than women from non-nuclear households to experience domestic violence. A similar finding was reported by Visaria (1999) among women in rural Gujarat. Working women, most of whom are agricultural workers, are much more likely than non-working women to experience violence.

It is generally believed that not bearing children and not bearing a son are important reasons for wife-beating. However, in Tamil Nadu women with no living children are somewhat less likely than women with living children to have experienced violence (32 percent compared with 39–44 percent). This may be due in part to the fact that childless women tend to be younger women, and younger women have a lower prevalence of domestic violence than older women. There does not appear to be much variation in the prevalence of domestic violence by whether or not women have a son.

The proportions of women who have been beaten or physically mistreated by their husbands according to various background characteristics are similar to the proportions of all women who have experienced domestic violence. This is not surprising since, as already noted, 89 percent of women who report beatings have been beaten by their husbands. The proportion of women who have been beaten or physically mistreated by their in-laws is too small to allow a meaningful discussion of differentials by women's background characteristics. Although the proportions of women who have been beaten by other persons is also small, the patterns according to background characteristics are often the reverse of those for the overall percentage beaten.

NFHS-2 asked women who experienced violence since age 15 how often they were beaten or physically mistreated in the 12 months preceding the survey: many times, a few times, once, or not at all. Table 3.11 shows the frequency of beatings in the past 12 months for women who report beatings or physical mistreatment. Four out of 10 women who experienced violence were beaten at least once during the 12 months preceding the survey and more than 3 out of 10 women and women married less than five years are most likely to have been beaten in the past 12 months. Multiple beatings among ever-beaten women are particularly high for women age 15–19 and particularly low for women who are not currently married.

As mentioned earlier, largely due to the inherent tendency for underreporting of domestic violence, these results need to be interpreted with caution. Nevertheless, the NFHS-2 estimates set a lower bound on the proportion of women experiencing domestic violence in Tamil Nadu: *at least* two in five ever-married women in Tamil Nadu have experienced domestic violence since age 15, and *at least* one in six have experienced domestic violence in the past 12 months.

### Table 3.11 Frequency of beatings or physical mistreatment

Percent distribution of ever-married women who report being beaten or physically mistreated since age 15 by frequency of beatings or physical mistreatment in the 12 months preceding the survey, according to selected background characteristics, Tamil Nadu, 1999

	Beaten	or physically	12 months				
Background characteristic	Many times	A few times	Once	Not beaten	Missing	Total percent	Number of women
Age							
15–19	11.9	29.4	23.0	35.6	0.0	100.0	73
20–29	8.5	25.6	13.4	52.4	0.0	100.0	671
30–39	7.1	21.5	10.8	60.6	0.0	100.0	646
40–49	7.3	13.7	5.5	73.3	0.1	100.0	497
Marital duration (in vears)							
< 5	8.7	25.3	17.2	48.8	0.0	100.0	280
5–9	7.7	28.0	13.8	50.4	0.1	100.0	336
10 or more	8.3	21.0	9.6	61.1	0.0	100.0	1,077
Not currently married	4.4	5.0	3.2	87.5	0.0	100.0	195
Posidonco							
Urban	58	19.6	12 4	62 1	0.1	100.0	577
Rural	8.7	22.0	10.1	59.2	0.0	100.0	1.310
							.,
Chennai	10.9	20.5	12.1	55.9	0.6	100.0	100
Education							
Illiterate	9.4	20.8	9.5	60.2	0.0	100.0	1,111
Literate, < middle school complete	7.0	23.7	12.2	57.1	0.1	100.0	422
Middle school complete	3.8	21.0	12.2	63.0	0.0	100.0	203
High school complete and above	3.9	17.8	14.7	63.4	0.2	100.0	152
Religion							
Hindu	7.8	20.6	10.9	60.7	0.0	100.0	1.728
Muslim	8.3	29.1	12.8	49.7	0.0	100.0	85
Christian	8.3	24.7	6.4	60.5	0.0	100.0	69
Casta/triba							
Caste/tribe	0.0	22.5	10.0	56.0	0.0	100.0	561
Other backward class	9.0	22.5	10.0	50.9 61.6	0.0	100.0	1 202
Other backward class	0.0	20.0	10.5	01.0	0.0	100.0	1,232
Household type		04.0			0.4	100.0	4 004
Nuclear household	8.4	21.9	11.5	58.2	0.1	100.0	1,231
Non-nuclear nousenoid	6.8	19.9	9.6	63.7	0.0	100.0	656
Cash employment							
Working for cash	8.7	21.3	9.8	60.2	0.0	100.0	985
Working but not for cash	4.8	16.4	6.0	72.8	0.0	100.0	199
Not worked in past 12 months	7.5	22.5	13.5	56.4	0.1	100.0	704
Standard of living index							
Low	10.5	22.6	10.8	56.1	0.0	100.0	873
Medium	6.3	21.6	10.9	61.2	0.1	100.0	863
High	1.1	11.7	9.6	77.6	0.0	100.0	141
Living children							
No living children	6.5	23.4	15.8	54.3	0.0	100.0	165
Only daughters	9.4	23.6	11.2	55.8	0.0	100.0	351
Only sons	8.4	20.8	12.8	57.8	0.1	100.0	433
Both daughters and sons	7.2	20.1	8.9	63.7	0.0	100.0	938
Total	7.8	21.2	10.8	60.1	0.0	100.0	1,887

Note: Total includes 2, 22, and 12 women belonging to other religions, scheduled tribes, and 'other' caste/tribes, respectively, and 3 and 10 women with missing information on religion and the standard of living index, respectively, who are not shown separately.