NATIONAL FAMILY HEALTH SURVEY (NFHS-2)

INDIA

1998-99

BIHAR

International Institute for Population Sciences Mumbai, India

MEASURE *DHS*+, ORC MACRO Calverton, Maryland, USA

May 2001

Suggested citation: International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS) and ORC Macro. 2001. *National Family Health Survey (NFHS-2), India, 1998–99: Bihar.* Mumbai: IIPS.

For additional information about the National Family Health Survey (NFHS-2), please contact:

International Institute for Population Sciences Govandi Station Road, Deonar, Mumbai-400 088 Telephone: 5564883, 5563254, 5563255, 5563256

Fax: 5563257

E-mail: iipsnfhs@vsnl.com

Website: http://www.nfhsindia.org

NFHS-2 data sets for this state can be obtained from the website listed above.

CONTRIBUTORS

T. K. Roy Vinod K. Mishra R.K. Sinha Dilip Kumar Zaheer Ahmad Khan U.P. Sinha

CONTENTS

		Page
Tabl	es	V
	res	
_	ace	
	nowledgements	
	Sheet, Bihar	
	Sheet, Jharkhand	
	mary of Findings	
CHAPTER	1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Background of the Survey	
1.2	Basic Socioeconomic and Demographic Features of Bihar	
1.3	Questionnaires	
1.4	Survey Design and Sample Implementation	
	Sample Size and Reporting Domain	
	Sample Design	
	Sample Selection in Rural Areas	
	Sample Selection in Urban Areas	
	Sample Weights	
1.7	Sample Implementation	
1.5	Recruitment, Training, and Fieldwork	
1.6	Data Processing.	13
CHAPTER	2 BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS AND)
	VILLAGES	
2.1	Age-Sex Distribution of the Household Population	15
2.2	Marital Status	
2.3	Household Composition	
2.4	Educational Attainment	
2.5	Housing Characteristics	26
2.6	Lifestyle Indicators	
2.7	Availability of Facilities and Services to the Rural Population	34
CHAPTER	3 BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENTS	
3.1	Background Characteristics	37
3.2	Educational Level	
3.3	Age at First Marriage	
3.4	Exposure to Mass Media	
3.5	Women's Employment	
3.6	Women's Autonomy	
3.7	Women's Educational Aspirations for Children	
3.8	Domestic Violence: Attitudes and Prevalence	

CHAPTER	4 FERTILITY AND FERTILITY PREFERENCES	Page
4.1	Age at First Cohabitation	59
4.2	Current Fertility Levels.	
4.3	Fertility Differentials and Trends.	
4.4	Children Ever Born and Living.	
4.5	Birth Order	
4.6	Birth Intervals	
4.7	Age at First and Last Birth	
4.8	Postpartum Amenorrhoea, Abstinence, Insusceptibility, and Menopause	
4.9	Desire for More Children	
4.10	Ideal Number of Children	
4.11	Sex Preference for Children	
4.12	Fertility Planning	
CHAPTER	5 FAMILY PLANNING	
5.1	Knowledge of Family Planning Methods	91
5.2	Contraceptive Use	
	Ever Use of Family Planning Methods	
	Current Use of Family Planning Methods	
	Socioeconomic Differentials in Current Use of Family Planning	
	Methods	96
	Number of Living Children at First Use of Contraception	
	Problems with Current Method.	
5.3	Timing of Sterilization	102
5.4	Sources of Contraceptive Methods	103
5.5	Reasons for Discontinuation/Non-Use of Contraception	
5.6	Future Intentions Regarding Contraceptive Use	
5.7	Exposure to Family Planning Messages	
5.8	Discussion of Family Planning	115
5.9	Need for Family Planning	117
CHAPTER	6 MORTALITY, MORBIDITY, AND IMMUNIZATION	
6.1	Crude Death Rates and Age-Specific Death Rates	122
6.2	Infant and Child Mortality	
	Assessment of Data Quality	
	Levels, Trends, and Differentials in Infant and Child Mortality	125
	Socioeconomic Differentials in Infant and Child Mortality	
	Demographic Differentials in Infant and Child Mortality	128
6.3	Morbidity	
	Asthma	132
	Tuberculosis	
	Jaundice	
	Malaria	134

		Page
6.4	Child Immunization	134
6.5	Vitamin A Supplementation	
6.6	Child Morbidity and Treatment	
	Acute Respiratory Infection	
	Fever	
	Diarrhoea	
6.7	HIV/AIDS	
0.7	Knowledge of AIDS	
	Source of Knowledge About AIDS	
	Knowledge of Ways to Avoid AIDS	
CHAPTER	7 NUTRITION AND THE PREVALENCE OF ANAEMIA	
7.1	Women's Food Consumption	159
7.2	Nutritional Status of Women	
7.3	Anaemia Among Women	
7.4	Infant Feeding Practices	
7.5	Nutritional Status of Children	
7.6	Anaemia Among Children	
7.7	Iodization of Salt	
	8 MATERNAL AND REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH	104
8.1	Antenatal Problems and Care	
	Problems During Pregnancy	
	Antenatal Check-Ups	
	Reasons for Not Receiving Antenatal Check-Ups	
	Number and Timing of Antenatal Check-Ups	
	Components of Antenatal Check-Ups	
	Tetanus Toxoid Vaccination	
0.2	Iron and Folic Acid Supplementation	
8.2	Delivery Care	
	Place of Delivery	
	Assistance During Delivery	198
0.2	Delivery Characteristics	
8.3	Postnatal Care	
0.4	Postpartum Complications	
8.4	Reproductive Health Problems	205
CHAPTER	9 QUALITY OF CARE	
9.1	Source of Health Care for Households	213
9.2	Contacts at Home with Health and Family Planning Workers	214
9.3	Quality of Home Visits	
9.4	Matters Discussed During Home Visits or Visits to Health Facilities	217

			Page
9.5	Qualit	ty of Services Received at the Most Recent Visit to a Health Facility	219
9.6	Famil	y Planning Information and Advice Received	219
9.7	Availa	ability of Pills and Condoms	220
9.8	Person	n Motivating Users of a Modern Contraceptive Method	221
9.9	Qualit	ty of Care of Family Planning Services	222
REFERENC	ES		225
APPENDICI	ES		
Apper	ndix A	Estimates of Sampling Errors	233
Apper	ndix B	Data Quality Tables	243
Apper	ndix C	Bihar NFHS-2 Staff	251
Apper	ndix D	Survey Instruments	257

TABLES

		Page
Table 1.1	Sampling stratification	7
Table 1.2	Sample results	11
Table 1.3	Sample results by region	12
Table 2.1	Household population by age and sex	16
Table 2.2	Population by age and sex from the SRS and NFHS-2	17
Table 2.3	Marital status of the household population	18
Table 2.4	Singulate mean age at marriage	20
Table 2.5	Household characteristics	21
Table 2.6	Educational level of the household population	23
Table 2.7	School attendance	26
Table 2.8	Reasons for children not attending school	27
Table 2.9	Housing characteristics	28
Table 2.10	Household ownership of agricultural land, house, and livestock	30
Table 2.11	Household ownership of durable goods and standard of living	31
Table 2.12	Lifestyle indicators	33
Table 2.13	Distance from the nearest health facility	35
Table 2.14	Availability of facilities and services	36
Table 3.1	Background characteristics of respondents	38
Table 3.2	Respondent's level of education by background characteristics	41
Table 3.3	Age at first marriage	42
Table 3.4	Exposure to mass media	44
Table 3.5	Employment	45
Table 3.6	Household decisionmaking	47
Table 3.7	Women's autonomy	49
Table 3.8	Perceived educational needs of girls and boys	51
Table 3.9	Reasons given for justifying a husband beating his wife	53
Table 3.10	Women's experience with beatings or physical mistreatment	55
Table 3.11	Frequency of beatings or physical mistreatment	57
Table 4.1	Age at first cohabitation with husband	60
Table 4.2	Current fertility	62
Table 4.3	Fertility by background characteristics	64
Table 4.4	Fertility trends	67

		Page
Table 4.5	Fertility by marital duration	68
Table 4.6	Children ever born and living	69
Table 4.7	Birth order	71
Table 4.8	Birth interval	72
Table 4.9	Median age at first birth	74
Table 4.10	Age at last birth	75
Table 4.11	Postpartum amenorrhoea, abstinence, and insusceptibility	76
Table 4.12	Menopause	77
Table 4.13	Fertility preferences	78
Table 4.14	Desire to have no more children by background characteristics	81
Table 4.15	Ideal and actual number of children	83
Table 4.16	Ideal number of children by background characteristics	84
Table 4.17	Indicators of sex preference	85
Table 4.18	Fertility planning.	87
Table 4.19	Wanted fertility rates	89
Table 5.1	Knowledge of contraceptive methods	92
Table 5.2	Ever use of contraception	93
Table 5.3	Current use of contraception	95
Table 5.4	Current use by background characteristics	98
Table 5.5	Number of living children at first use	100
Table 5.6	Problems with current method	101
Table 5.7	Timing of sterilization	102
Table 5.8	Source of modern contraceptive methods	104
Table 5.9	Reasons for discontinuation/non-use	108
Table 5.10	Future use of contraception.	110
Table 5.11	Reasons for not intending to use contraception	111
Table 5.12	Preferred method.	112
Table 5.13	Exposure to family planning messages	114
Table 5.14	Discussion of family planning	116
Table 5.15	Need for family planning services	118
Table 6.1	Age-specific death rates and crude death rates	123
Table 6.2	Infant and child mortality	126

		Page
Table 6.3	Infant and child mortality by background characteristics	128
Table 6.4	Infant and child mortality by demographic characteristics	129
Table 6.5	Morbidity	133
Table 6.6	Childhood vaccinations by source of information	136
Table 6.7	Childhood vaccinations by background characteristics	138
Table 6.8	Childhood vaccinations received by 12 months of age	140
Table 6.9	Source of childhood vaccinations	142
Table 6.10	Vitamin A supplementation for children	143
Table 6.11	Prevalence of acute respiratory infection, fever, and diarrhoea	145
Table 6.12	Knowledge of diarrhoea care	148
Table 6.13	Treatment of diarrhoea	150
Table 6.14	Source of ORS packets	152
Table 6.15	Source of knowledge about AIDS	154
Table 6.16	Knowledge about avoidance of AIDS	157
Table 7.1	Women's food consumption	160
Table 7.2	Women's food consumption by background characteristics	161
Table 7.3	Nutritional status of women	162
Table 7.4	Anaemia among women	164
Table 7.5	Initiation of breastfeeding	168
Table 7.6	Breastfeeding status by child's age	169
Table 7.7	Type of food received by children	170
Table 7.8	Median duration of breastfeeding by background characteristics	172
Table 7.9	Nutritional status of children by demographic characteristics	174
Table 7.10	Nutritional status of children by background characteristics	176
Table 7.11	Anaemia among children	178
Table 7.12	Iodization of salt	181
Table 8.1	Health problems during pregnancy	185
Table 8.2	Antenatal check-ups	187
Table 8.3	Reason for not receiving an antenatal check-up	189
Table 8.4	Number and timing of antenatal check-ups and stage of pregnancy	190
Table 8.5	Components of antenatal check-ups	192
Table 8.6	Tetanus toxoid vaccination and iron and folic acid tablets or syrup	194

		Page
Table 8.7	Place of delivery	197
Table 8.8	Assistance during delivery	199
Table 8.9	Characteristics of births	201
Table 8.10	Postpartum check-ups	203
Table 8.11	Symptoms of postpartum complications	206
Table 8.12	Symptoms of reproductive health problems	208
Table 8.13	Treatment of reproductive health problems	210
Table 9.1	Source of health care	214
Table 9.2	Home visits by a health or family planning worker	216
Table 9.3	Quality of home visits	217
Table 9.4	Matters discussed during contacts with a health or family planning worker	218
Table 9.5	Quality of care during the most recent visit to a health facility	220
Table 9.6	Family planning discussions with a health or family planning worke	er221
Table 9.7	Availability of regular supply of condoms/pills	221
Table 9.8	Motivation to use family planning	222
Table 9.9	Discussions about alternative methods of family planning	223
Table 9.10	Information on side effects and follow-up for current method	224
Appendix A		
Table A.1	List of selected variables for sampling errors, Bihar, 1998–99	235
Table A.2	Sampling errors, Bihar, 1998–99	236
Appendix B		
Table B.1	Household age distribution	244
Table B.2	Age distribution of eligible and interviewed women	245
Table B.3	Completeness of reporting	245
Table B.4	Births by calendar year	247
Table B.5	Reporting of age at death in days	248
Table B.6	Reporting of age at death in months	249

FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	Population Pyramid	16
Figure 2.2	School Attendance by Age, Sex, and Residence	26
Figure 3.1	Percentage of Women Participating in Different Household Decisions and Percentage with Access to Money	
Figure 4.1	Age-Specific Fertility Rates by Residence	62
Figure 4.2	Age-Specific Fertility Rates, NFHS-1 and NFHS-2	63
Figure 4.3	Total Fertility Rate by Selected Background Characteristics	65
Figure 4.4	Fertility Preferences Among Currently Married Women	80
Figure 5.1	Current Use of Family Planning by Residence, NFHS-1 and NFHS-2.	96
Figure 5.2	Sources of Family Planning Among Current Users of Modern	
Figure 6.1	Infant Mortality Rate for Five-Year Periods by Residence	126
Figure 6.2	Infant Mortality Rate by Selected Demographic Characteristics	130
Figure 6.3	Percentage of Children Age 12–23 Months Who Have Received Specific Vaccinations	137
Figure 6.4	Percentage of Children Age 12–23 Months Who Have Received All Vaccinations	139
Figure 6.5	Source of Childhood Vaccinations by Residence	141
Figure 7.1	Anaemia Among Women	165
Figure 7.2	Stunting Among Children Under Three Years by Mother's Education SLI	
Figure 7.3	Anaemia Among Children	179
Figure 8.1	Problems During Pregnancy	185
Figure 8.2	Source of Antenatal Check-Ups During Pregnancy	188
Figure 8.3	Number and Timing of Antenatal Check-Ups	191
Figure 8.4	Place of Delivery and Assistance During Delivery	198
Figure 8.5	Reproductive Health Problems Among Currently Married Women	207
Figure 9.1	Motivator for Current Users of Modern Contraceptive Methods	223

PREFACE

The success of the first National Family Health Survey, conducted in 1992–93, in creating an important demographic and health database in India has paved the way for repeating the survey. The second National Family Health Survey (NFHS-2), undertaken in 1998–99, is designed to strengthen the database further and facilitate implementation and monitoring of population and health programmes in the country. As in the earlier survey, the principal objective of NFHS-2 is to provide state and national estimates of fertility, the practice of family planning, infant and child mortality, maternal and child health, and the utilization of health services provided to mothers and children. In addition, the survey provides indicators of the quality of health and family welfare services, women's reproductive health problems, and domestic violence, and includes information on the status of women, education, and the standard of living.

Another feature of NFHS-2 is measurement of the nutritional status of women. Height and weight measurements, which were available only for young children in the earlier survey, were extended to cover all eligible women in NFHS-2. In addition, ever-married women and their children below age three had their blood tested for the level of haemoglobin, using the HemoCue instrument. Through these blood tests, for the first time the survey provides information on the prevalence of anaemia throughout India. In two metropolitan cities, Delhi and Mumbai, a further test was done for children below age three to measure the lead content in their blood. The survey also measured the extent to which households in India use cooking salt that has been fortified with iodine.

The NFHS-2 survey was funded by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) through ORC Macro, USA. UNICEF provided additional financial support for the nutritional components of the survey. The survey is the outcome of the collaborative efforts of many organizations. The International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS) was designated as the nodal agency for this project by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi. Thirteen reputed field organizations (FOs) in India, including five Population Research Centres, were selected to carry out the houselisting operation and data collection for NFHS-2. The field organization for Bihar was the ORG Centre for Social Research in New Delhi. ORC Macro, Calverton, Maryland, USA, and the East-West Center, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA, provided technical assistance for all survey operations.

The NFHS-2 survey covered a representative sample of more than 90,000 eligible women age 15–49 from 26 states that comprise more than 99 percent of India's population. The data collection was carried out in two phases, starting in November 1998 and March 1999. The survey provides state-level estimates of demographic and health parameters as well as data on various socioeconomic and programmatic factors that are critical for bringing about desired changes in India's demographic and health situation. The survey provides urban and rural estimates for most states, regional estimates for four states (Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, and Uttar Pradesh), separate estimates for three metro cities (Chennai, Kolkata, and Mumbai), and estimates for slum areas in Mumbai.

The survey used uniform questionnaires, sample designs, and field procedures to facilitate comparability of the data and to achieve a high level of data quality. Preliminary reports with selected results were prepared earlier for each state and presented to policymakers and

programme administrators responsible for improving health and family welfare programmes in most states.

The final state reports are based on a standard tabulation plan developed at a workshop held in Kodaikanal on 15–17 January 1999. IIPS finalized the tabulation plan according to the recommendations of the NFHS-2 Technical Advisory Committee and produced the tables and figures for the final reports. In most states, the final state reports were written by representatives of the Population Research Centres, faculty members from IIPS, representatives from ORC Macro and the East-West Center, and reputed researchers from other organizations in the field of population and health in India. Each report has been reviewed by an Indian expert in the field of population sciences.

We are happy to present the final NFHS-2 state report for Bihar, which was covered in the first phase of the survey. We hope that the report will provide helpful insights into the changes that are taking place in the state and will provide policymakers and programme managers with up-to-date estimates of indicators that can be used for effective management of health and family welfare programmes, with an emphasis on reproductive health dimensions. The report should also contribute to the knowledge of researchers and analysts in the fields of population, health, and nutrition.

T.K. Roy Director International Institute for Population Sciences Mumbai

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The second National Family Health Survey was successfully completed due to the efforts and involvement of numerous organizations and individuals at different stages of the survey. We would like to thank everyone who was involved in the survey and made it a success.

First of all, we are grateful to the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi, for its overall guidance and support during the project. Mr. Y.N. Chaturvedi and Mr. K.S. Sugathan, the then Secretary and Joint Secretary, respectively, at the Department of Family Welfare deserve special thanks. They initiated the project and designated the International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS) as the nodal agency for the survey. They also formed the Steering Committee, the Administrative and Financial Management Committee, and the Technical Advisory Committee for the smooth and efficient functioning of the project. Special thanks are due to Mr. A.R. Nanda, the present Secretary of the Department of Family Welfare, who continued to take an active interest in the project and provided timely guidance and support. The contributions of Mr. Vijay Singh, Joint Secretary (FA), Ms. Meenakshi Dutta Ghosh, Joint Secretary (S), Mr. Gautam Basu, Joint Secretary (RCH), Mr. P.K. Saha, Chief Director (S), and Dr. K.V. Rao, Chief Director (S), are acknowledged with gratitude.

We gratefully acknowledge the immense help received from the Office of the Registrar General, India, New Delhi (particularly Dr. M. Vijayanunni, the then Registrar General of India, Mr. J.K. Banthia, the present Registrar General of India, Mr. S.P. Sharma, Consultant, and Mr. S.K. Sinha, Deputy Registrar General, Vital Statistics) in implementing the sample design and making the latest SRS results available to cite in the reports. We thank all the expert participants in the series of workshops to finalize the questionnaire design, the sample design, and tabulation plans for the survey. Special mention and thanks are due to Dr. Vijay Verma for his expert advice on the sample design and the calculation of sample weights.

We are grateful to the Directorate of Census Operations, Maharashtra, for their support in conducting training of the trainers for the houselisting operation. We acknowledge the support of the All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, which extended its facilities for training of the health investigators.

We are thankful to the Department of Health and Family Welfare, Government of Bihar, for helping the field organization by providing logistic assistance, whenever possible. Special thanks go to the local officials in all of the sample areas for facilitating the data collection.

The United States Agency for International Development (USAID) provided generous funding for NFHS-2. USAID's contribution to the project is sincerely acknowledged. Special thanks are due to Mr. William Goldman, the former Director of the Office of Population, Health and Nutrition (PHN), USAID, New Delhi, Ms. Sheena Chhabra, Team Leader, Policy, Research, Evaluation, and Marketing (PHN), and Dr. Victor K. Barbiero, current Director of PHN, for their initiative and involvement in the project. Many thanks are due to UNICEF for providing additional funding for the nutrition component of the project and the most modern medical equipment for carrying out the height-weight measurements and anaemia testing. Special thanks are due to Dr. Sanjiv Kumar, Project Officer (Health), UNICEF, New Delhi, for his earnest cooperation in this respect.

We gratefully acknowledge the help and cooperation given by Dr. Rameshwar Sharma, the then Director, and Dr. Shiv Chandra Mathur, Professor, State Institute of Health and Family Welfare (SIHFW), Jaipur, during the national pretest of the NFHS-2 questionnaires in Rajasthan.

Thanks are due to all the members of the Steering Committee, Administrative and Financial Management Committee, and Technical Advisory Committee for participating in various meetings and providing valuable guidance for successful execution of the project.

Dr. K.B. Pathak was the Director of IIPS during the development of the project and throughout the first phase of data collection. His immense interest and great assistance to NFHS-2 are gratefully acknowledged.

We appreciate and acknowledge the untiring efforts, interest and initiative taken by Dr. Fred Arnold, Dr. Sunita Kishor, Mr. Sushil Kumar, and Mr. Zaheer Ahmad Khan from ORC Macro and Dr. Robert D. Retherford and Dr. Vinod Mishra from the East-West Center. It is only due to their hard work that NFHS-2 could be completed successfully. Thanks go to Dr. Umesh Kapil, Additional Professor, Department of Human Nutrition, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, for organizing, in collaboration with IIPS, the training programme for the health component of the survey, and to Dr. Almaz Sharman of ORC Macro for assisting with the training programme. We also thank the health coordinators, Dr. Vikash Chandra, Dr. P.V. Kaushik, and Dr. Sanjeev P. Walokar, for their involvement in the NFHS-2 nutrition training programme and their sincere supervision of the nutrition component of the survey. We gratefully acknowledge Mr. O.P. Sharma for his able assistance in ensuring the timely printing and distribution of the survey reports.

ORC Macro made available the ISSA (Integrated System for Survey Analysis) computer package for data entry and tabulation. Special thanks go to Mr. Martin Wulfe and Mr. Hendrik J. Raggers for their immense help in the data processing operation, data analysis, and preparation of the tables for NFHS-2 reports and to Dr. Rajib Acharya for his assistance at every stage of the data processing operation and report writing and his maintenance of the NFHS website. Special thanks go to Mr. Somnath W. Choughule, Data Entry Operator, for designing the NFHS website. We gratefully acknowledge the valuable contribution of IIPS Senior Research Officers Dr. Rajeshri Chitanand, Dr. Damodar Sahu, and Dr. Yonah Bhutia, and Research Officers Ms. Pavani Upadrashta and Dr. M. Hemanta Meitei. Thanks are due to Ms. Sally Dai of the East-West Center for her assistance in the preparation of this report. Thanks are also due to the other supporting staff of the project, as well as the Administrative, Accounts, and Library staff of IIPS, for their continuous cooperation during the entire project period.

The difficult task of data collection and data processing in the state of Bihar was successfully carried out by ORG Centre for Social Research, New Delhi. Our special thanks are due to Mr. C.V.S. Prasad, Survey Director, and the three Survey Coordinators (Dr. P.K. Chopra, Mr. Nazir Haider, and Mr. S.A. Khan) for successfully coordinating the fieldwork and data processing for the state. Special thanks go to Mr. Anup Murari Rajan, IIPS Research Officer, NFHS-2, for assisting during the training of the field staff and monitoring the data collection in Bihar. This acknowledgement cannot be concluded without expressing appreciation for the hard work put in by the interviewers, health investigators, supervisors, and field editors in collecting data in Bihar.

Thanks are due to Dr. Dilip Kumar, Population Research Centre, Patna, Dr. R.K. Sinha, Reader, International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai, and Dr. U.P. Sinha, Retd.

Professor, International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai, for their contribution in report writing. We extend our sincere thanks to Dr. S. Mukerji, Retd. Professor, International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai for reviewing this report and giving his comments.

Last but not the least, credit goes to all the eligible women and the household respondents of Bihar who spent their time and responded to the rather lengthy questionnaires with tremendous patience and without any expectation from NFHS-2.

T.K. Roy Sumati Kulkarni Arvind Pandey Kamla Gupta Parveen Nangia